

2021 BIENNIAL STRUCTURE INSPECTION PROGRAM

Township of South Stormont – October 2021

Keystone Bridge Management Corp.

Your Bridge Asset Management Specialist



Executive Summary

Keystone Bridge Management Corp. was retained by the Township of South Stormont to provide bridge assessments for all its bridges and large culverts. A total of 21 structures were evaluated of which 9 were bridges and 12 were culverts.

The structure inventory ranges in age from new to 71 years old and represents 1,839 square metres of plan surface area. The average age of South Stormont structures is 31.3 years.

The asset value of all bridges and culverts on a full replacement cost basis is of the order of \$13.35 million.

Approximately \$3.3 million is required in capital investment to continue to maintain the structural inventory in good serviceable condition for the next ten years. Four culverts are identified for replacement. Five bridges are nominated for a comprehensive rehabilitation.

In the next 20 years there will be a need to replace about \$3.3M in bridge and culvert assets.

The bridges are presently depreciating at a rate of \$140K per year. They retain about 67% of their new value. In the absence of capital investment, the bridges will retain 34% of their new value in 20 years. The bridges have lost 6.5% in value due to deterioration. The ideal long-term investment in bridges is \$135K annually.

The culvert assets are depreciating at a rate of \$41K per year. They currently retain about 49% of their new value. Without capital investment, the culverts will retain 21% of their new value in 20 years. The recommended on-going expenditure for culverts is \$75K annually.

A total of 57.1% of the inspected structures have a Bridge Condition Index greater than 70. The remaining structures have BCI values between 54.7 and 70. South Stormont is 22.9% behind the MTO's goal of maintaining at least 80% of its structures with a BCI greater than or equal to 70.



Structure Summary Statistics

Structure Age Histogram

| Average Age | 31.3 |
|-----------------|------|
| Youngest Age | 1 |
| Oldest Age | 71 |
| Structure Count | 21 |



| Total Deck Area | 1,839 m² |
|-------------------|-----------------|
| Max Deck Area | 207 m² |
| Min Deck Area | 29 m² |
| Average Deck Area | 88 m² |



706 m²

1721 m²

118 m²

| Bridge | Bridge List | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------|------------------------|------------------------|--------|-------|-------|----------|--|--|--|--|
| Bridge ID | Name | Route | Length | Width | Spans | Const Yr | | | | |
| 31-170 | North Lunenburg Bridge | North Lunenburg Road W | 8.7 | 9.3 | 1 | 2008 | | | | |
| 31-175 | Valade Road Bridge | Valade Rd. | 22.3 | 6.7 | 1 | 1978 | | | | |
| 31-181 | Red Bridge | Lefebvre Road | 19.6 | 6.4 | 1 | 1978 | | | | |
| 31-182 | McMillan Bridge | Delaney Road | 21.8 | 9.5 | 1 | 2009 | | | | |
| 31-186 | Kennedy Bridge | Delaney Road | 11.3 | 9.0 | 1 | 2006 | | | | |
| 31-187 | Campbell Bridge | McPhail Road | 13.3 | 10.1 | 1 | 1988 | | | | |
| 31-208 | Race Track Bridge | Barlow Road | 5.6 | 5.1 | 1 | 1985 | | | | |
| 31-303 | Shaver Bridge | Shaver Road | 13.4 | 5.0 | 1 | 1950 | | | | |
| 31-A21 | Johnston Bridge | Morgan Road | 11.6 | 8.5 | 1 | 2007 | | | | |
| Total # of Br | idges 9 | | | | | | | | | |

Those bridges where the span is highlighted in amber are not subject to the Ontario Statute for biennial inspection.



| Culvert List | | | | | | | | | |
|-------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------|--------|------|-------|----------|--|--|--|
| Culvert ID | Name | Route | Length | Span | Cells | Const Yr | | | |
| C31-167 | North Lunenburg Road Culvert | North Lunenburg Road, W | 20.0 | 3.6 | 1 | 2020 | | | |
| C31-169 | North Lunenburg Road Culvert | North Lunenburg Road, W | 21.9 | 5.8 | 1 | 1974 | | | |
| C31-A01 | Goldfield Road Culvert | Goldfield Road | 22.1 | 3.8 | 1 | 2018 | | | |
| C31-A02 | Hunters Road Culvert | Hunters Road | 21.8 | 3.8 | 1 | 1976 | | | |
| C31-A03 | Otto Road Culvert | Otto Road | 17.2 | 3.6 | 1 | 2013 | | | |
| C31-A06 | Beckstead Road Culvert | Beckstead Road | 14.7 | 3.6 | 1 | 1980 | | | |
| C31-A08 | Anderson Road Culvert | Anderson Road | 12.2 | 4.2 | 1 | 1960 | | | |
| C31-A12 | Cooper Road Culvert | Cooper Road | 21.7 | 4.8 | 1 | 1994 | | | |
| C31-A13 | Wilburn Road Culvert | Wilburn Road | 11.2 | 3.5 | 1 | 1990 | | | |
| C31-A15 | MacRae Road Culvert | MacRae Road | 18.2 | 3.3 | 1 | 1985 | | | |
| C31-A16 | Northfield Road Culvert | Northfield Road | 15.3 | 3.6 | 1 | 1990 | | | |
| C31-A18 | O'Keefe Road Culvert | O'Keefe Road | 17.2 | 3.2 | 1 | 1975 | | | |
| Total # of Culver | ts 12 | | | | | | | | |

Those culverts where the span is highlighted in amber are not subject to the Ontario Statute for biennial inspection.



| Year | Needs Report | | | |
|--------------|----------------------|---------------|--|--------------------|
| | 2022 | | | |
| Structure ID | Name | Route | Work | Cost |
| 31-181 | Red Bridge | Lefebvre Road | Misc Concrete Repairs, O'Lay, B/Wall, Guide Rail, Ret Walls, Abut Rep | \$493,000 |
| 31-303 | Shaver Bridge | Shaver Road | Abut Repairs | \$24,000 |
| | | | Sum for Year Percentage of Grand Total | \$517,000 16.0% |
| Year | 2023 | | | |
| Structure ID | Name | Route | Work | Cost |
| C31-A02 | Hunters Road Culvert | Hunters Road | New Conc Culvert | \$462,000 |
| C31-A15 | MacRae Road Culvert | MacRae Road | Concrete floor liner | \$36,000 |
| | | | Sum for Year | \$498,000 |
| | | | Percentage of Grand Total | 15.4% |



| Year | 2024 | | | |
|--------------|------------------------------|----------------------------|---|--------------------|
| Structure ID | Name | Route | Work | Cost |
| 31-187 | Campbell Bridge | McPhail Road | WP&P, B/Wall, Guide Rail | \$322,000 |
| 31-208 | Race Track Bridge | Barlow Road | Replace Deck, B/Wall | \$240,000 |
| C31-A12 | Cooper Road Culvert | Cooper Road | Guide Rail | \$48,000 |
| | | | Sum for Year Percentage of Grand Total | \$610,000 18.9% |
| Year | 2025 | | | |
| Structure ID | Name | Route | Work | Cost |
| C31-169 | North Lunenburg Road Culvert | North Lunenburg Road, West | New Conc Culvert | \$657,000 |
| | | | Sum for Year | \$657,000 |
| | | | Percentage of Grand Total | 20.3% |



| Year | 2026 | | | |
|--------------|------------------------|---------------------------|---|--------------------|
| Structure ID | Name | Route | Work | Cost |
| 31-A21 | Johnston Bridge | Morgan Road | O'Lay, WP&P | \$121,000 |
| C31-A06 | Beckstead Road Culvert | Beckstead Road | New Conc Culvert | \$350,000 |
| | | | Sum for Year Percentage of Grand Total | \$471,000 14.6% |
| Year | 2027 | | | |
| Structure ID | Name | Route | Work | Cost |
| 31-170 | North Lunenburg Bridge | North Lunenburg Road West | O'Lay, WP&P | \$108,000 |
| | | | Sum for Year Percentage of Grand Total | \$108,000 3.3% |
| Year | 2031 | | | |
| Structure ID | Name | Route | Work | Cost |
| C31-A18 | O'Keefe Road Culvert | O'Keefe Road | New Conc Culvert | \$368,000 |
| | | | Sum for Year Percentage of Grand Total | \$368,000 11.4% |
| | | | | |
| Ę | | | | |

Page 3 of 5



Total Capital Needs (m's) \$3,229,000 Over 10 Years

Capital Expenditure by Year



Township South Stormont

Capital Expenditure by Structure Type

🗖 Bridge 📕 Culvert



| 2 Yea | ar Priority F | Report | | |
|----------|---------------|------------------------|--------------------|--|
| Priority | Estimate | Bridge ID | CapYear | Remark |
| 1 | \$24,000.00 | 31-303 Shaver Brid | 2022 ge | Construction year was estimated at 1950. However abutments are thought to be 1920's construction. This bridge is unsafe due to the loss of support under the south bearings. Bridge bearing in SE corner is of major concern, loss of concrete in SE corner has left bearing unstable, SW similar but not quite as bad. Condition of this bridge was brought to owners attention 2019, immediate repairs are needed. Load limit signs missing at 2021 site visit. |
| 2 | \$493,000.00 | 31-181 Red Bridge | 2022 | This bridge is planned for a major rehabilitation, 2022. Joints and barrier system are driving the need for rehab. Poor drainage from bridge is damaging exterior girders. |
| 3 | \$36,000.00 | C31-A15 MacRae Roa | 2023 ad Culvert | Construction year was estimated at 1985. Perforations were noted in the barrel walls and floor. A concrete floor liner may be an appropriate repair strategy for this culvert due to the perforations being low in floor or walls. Without liner this culvert will need replacement within in a 5-7 year timeframe. Floor liner would add 20 years to life of culvert. |
| 4 | \$462,000.00 | C31-A02 Hunters Roa | 2023 ad Culvert | This culvert has an obvious crimp line along lower barrel walls, walls are easily penetrated with pick hammer in this area. Programming for replacement of this culvert should be started. Plan on replacing this culvert within two years. |

```
Estimated 2 Year Need $1,015,000.00
```

| Bridge I | Maintenance Re | port | | | |
|-----------|--|---|--|--|------------|
| Bridge ID | Name | Road | Component | Maintenance | Cost |
| 31-170 | North Lunenburg Bridge | North Lunenburg Road West | Embankment | Remove Brush/Trees | 1,000 |
| | Bell attached to north si the south side. | ide of structure. Well | groomed on the nortl | h side, thick vegetation g | rowth on |
| 31-181 | Red Bridge | Lefebvre Road | Embankment | Remove Brush/Trees | 1,000 |
| | Trees & brush require b occurring around the di | rushing out, notably sintegrating retaining | in the SW corner. Wild walls. | d parsnip present. Erosic | on is |
| 31-182 | McMillan Bridge | Delaney Road | Embankment | Remove Brush/Trees | 1,000 |
| | Trees & brush around w Wild parsnip noted on e | ing walls & under bri mbankments. Stone | idge should be cleare protection against ab | d. Erosion at the ends of utment walls. | curbs. |
| 31-187 | Campbell Bridge | McPhail Road | Embankment | Remove Brush/Trees | 1,000 |
| | Good condition. Wild pa at bridge corners. | arsnip is present. Son | ne tree growth near w | ing walls. Trees should l | be cleared |
| 31-303 | Shaver Bridge | Shaver Road | Load Posting | Replace Sign | 600 |
| | Signs missing in 2021. I rail. Road is dead end s | Bridge was posted wi o no signs on north s | th 10 tonne limit. Sign ide. | ns located at end of sout | h guide |
| | | | RC Abutment Wall | Re & Re Concrete | 3,200 |
| | South abutment has ma SE corner under bearing | jor disintegration, no g is very concerning. | rth abutment minor d | lisintegration. Loss of su | pport at |
| | | | Embankment | Remove Brush/Trees | 1,000 |
| | Thick vegetation at brid | ge wing walls. | | | |
| | | | Steel Sliding Plate | Remove debris | 600 |
| | SE bearing has lost app Soil & vegetation cover | roximately 50% of be all bearings. | aring due to disintegi | ration of the old south ab | outment. |
| C31-169 | North Lunenburg Road Culvert | North Lunenburg Road, West | Embankment | Remove Brush/Trees | 1,000 |
| | Significant tree growth. | Stable slopes. Wild p | arsnip. No delineator | rs or guide rail at this site |), |
| C31-A03 | Otto Road Culvert | Otto Road | Embankment | Remove Brush/Trees | 1,000 |
| | Wild parsnip flourishing | ι. | | | |
| C31-A08 | Anderson Road Culvert | Anderson Road | Embankment | Remove Brush/Trees | 1,000 |
| | Heavy vegetation growt should be cleared. | h at south end, nicely | / groomed at north en | nd. Brush & trees at soutl | h end |
| C31-A12 | Cooper Road Culvert | Cooper Road | Embankment | Remove Brush/Trees | 1,000 |
| | Thick vegetation at culv | ert ends. Wild parsni | p present. Tree in the | SE corner should be cut | back. |



| Bridge ID | Name | Road | Component | Maintenance | Cost | | | |
|-----------|---|--|----------------------------|----------------------------|---------|--|--|--|
| C31-A13 | Wilburn Road Culvert | Wilburn Road | Embankment | Remove Brush/Trees | 1,000 | | | |
| | Steep embankments, ei length culvert. Wild par | rosion in the NW corr snip present. | ner. Retaining walls n | nay be warranted due to th | e short | | | |
| C31-A18 | O'Keefe Road Culvert | O'Keefe Road | Embankment | Remove Brush/Trees | 1,000 | | | |
| | Thick brush. Wild parsi | nip. Dry stone retaini | ng wall in NW is parti | ally failed. | | | | |
| | | | Steel Beam on Wood Post | Spot post replacement | 2,200 | | | |
| | | | | Local repair | | | | |
| | Many posts have major decay. Ends are not properly buried and don't meet current standard. Post in the SE is damaged. Sections of flex beam badly corroded. Guide rail system requires renewal. | | | | | | | |
| | | | Water Channel | Remove Obstructions | 1,600 | | | |
| | Stagnant flow, no water in upstream or downstream, barrel has 600mm stagnant water inside. Slight flow in 2021, still stagnant water inside barrel, 600mm. Large stones at both ends of barrel partially obstruct channel flow. | | | | | | | |
| | Total Estimated Component Level Maintenance Cost: \$19,200 | | | | | | | |

Structure Replacement Costs

| Bridge ID | Name | Estimated Remaining Service Life | Program Year | Estimated Replacement Cost |
|-----------|------------------------------|--|-----------------|-------------------------------|
| C31-167 | North Lunenburg Road Culvert | 89 | | \$447,000 |
| 31-A21 | Johnston Bridge | 86 | 2026 | \$550,000 |
| 31-182 | McMillan Bridge | 78 | | \$1,467,000 |
| 31-170 | North Lunenburg Bridge | 77 | 2027 | \$787,000 |
| 31-186 | Kennedy Bridge | 75 | | \$602,000 |
| 31-175 | Valade Road Bridge | 67 | | \$1,265,000 |
| 31-187 | Campbell Bridge | 67 | 2024 | \$1,817,000 |
| C31-A12 | Cooper Road Culvert | 63 | 2024 | \$473,000 |
| 31-181 | Red Bridge | 47 | 2022 | \$1,064,000 |
| C31-A01 | Goldfield Road Culvert | 37 | | \$355,000 |
| 31-208 | Race Track Bridge | 34 | 2024 | \$211,000 |
| C31-A03 | Otto Road Culvert | 32 | | \$694,000 |
| C31-A08 | Anderson Road Culvert | 29 | | \$310,000 |
| C31-A13 | Wilburn Road Culvert | 16 | | \$212,000 |
| 31-303 | Shaver Bridge | 14 | 2022 | \$968,000 |
| C31-A16 | Northfield Road Culvert | 14 | | \$289,000 |
| C31-A18 | O'Keefe Road Culvert | 10 | 2031 | \$331,000 |
| C31-A15 | MacRae Road Culvert | 6 | 2023 | \$288,000 |
| C31-A06 | Beckstead Road Culvert | 5 | 2026 | \$298,000 |
| C31-169 | North Lunenburg Road Culvert | 4 | 2025 | \$536,000 |
| C31-A02 | Hunters Road Culvert | 2 | 2023 | \$384,000 |

| | Estimated | | |
|------|--------------|---------|------------------|
| | Remaining | Program | Estimated |
| Name | Service Life | Year | Replacement Cost |



| Total Replacement Cost | \$13,348,000 |
|--------------------------|---------------------|
| Average Replacement Cost | \$635,619 |
| Total Deck Area | 1839 m ² |

Bridge ID

| 1 |
|-------------------------|
| 0) |
| 0 |
| ~ |
| C |
| |
| ÷ |
| |
| $\overline{\mathbf{w}}$ |
| ¥ |
| |
| _ |
| Ð |
| ŏ |
| $\mathbf{\Xi}$ |
| (U) |
| |
| 0 |
| (1) |
| ~ |
| r |
| _ |
| ÷ |
| - |
| Ð |
| 5 |
| - |
| - |
| - |
| () |

| Culvert ID | Name | Existing Culvert Type | Common Costs | Total Cost Concrete Replacement | Total Cost Steel Replacement | Existing Culvert Replacement Cost | Life-Cycle Cost Concrete Replacement | Life-Cycle Cost Steel Replacement |
|----------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------|---------------------------------------|------------------------------------|---|--|---|
| C31-167 | North Lunenburg Road Culve | ert Concrete Culvert | \$176,000 | \$447,000 | \$378,000 | \$447,000 | \$452,400 | \$461,200 |
| C31-169 | North Lunenburg Road Culve | ert Soil-Steel Structure | \$258,100 | \$657,000 | \$536,000 | \$536,000 | \$664,900 | \$653,900 |
| C31-A01 | Goldfield Road Culvert | Soil-Steel Structure | \$160,400 | \$406,000 | \$355,000 | \$355,000 | \$410,900 | \$433,100 |
| C31-A02 | Hunters Road Culvert | Soil-Steel Structure | \$181,400 | \$462,000 | \$384,000 | \$384,000 | \$467,500 | \$468,500 |
| C31-A03 | Otto Road Culvert | Soil-Steel Structure | \$314,500 | \$911,000 | \$694,000 | \$694,000 | \$921,900 | \$846,700 |
| C31-A06 | Beckstead Road Culvert | Soil-Steel Structure | \$166,100 | \$350,000 | \$298,000 | \$298,000 | \$354,200 | \$363,600 |
| C31-A08 | Anderson Road Culvert | Concrete Culvert | \$147,000 | \$310,000 | \$268,000 | \$310,000 | \$313,700 | \$327,000 |
| C31-A12 | Cooper Road Culvert | Concrete Culvert | \$182,900 | \$473,000 | \$393,000 | \$473,000 | \$478,700 | \$479,500 |
| C31-A13 | Wilburn Road Culvert | Soil-Steel Structure | \$111,300 | \$238,000 | \$212,000 | \$212,000 | \$240,900 | \$258,600 |
| C31-A15 | MacRae Road Culvert | Soil-Steel Structure | \$147,800 | \$347,000 | \$288,000 | \$288,000 | \$351,200 | \$351,400 |
| C31-A16 | Northfield Road Culvert | Soil-Steel Structure | \$155,800 | \$341,000 | \$289,000 | \$289,000 | \$345,100 | \$352,600 |
| C31-A18 | O'Keefe Road Culvert | Soil-Steel Structure | \$190,100 | \$368,000 | \$331,000 | \$331,000 | \$372,400 | \$403,800 |
| Estimated cost | is based on a new culvert of simils | ar size. | | | | Total Number of | Timber Structures | 0 |

| Width, Height, Fill Depth, # Lanes Over, Water Depth are | vatering, traffic, etc.) are estimated and totalled for each |
|--|--|
| ill Depth, # L | tc.) are estirr |
| h, Height, Fi | ng, traffic, ei |
| ength, Widtl ons. | s (dewaterir |
| l values, Le e calculatio | ulvert works |
| Recorded used in th | Typical cu structure. |

| \$4,617,000 |
|---|
| I Cost of Culvert Replacement Based on Similar Size and Type: |
| Tot |

| ო |
|--------|
| |
| |
| es: |
| ĭť |
| Ĕ |
| è è |
| cret |
| õ |
| 5 O |
| er. |
| Ĕ |
| ž |
| ota |
| F |
| |

6 **Total Number of Steel Structures:**

5

Å



Parabolic & Straight Line Depreciation

| - |
|----------|
| culverts |
| include |
| s not |
| (Does |
| |

| (noce inor include carrente) | | | | | | | | | |
|------------------------------|-----------|-------|-------------|-------|------------|--------------------|---------------|--------|--------------|
| Name | Bridge ID | Built | Value (New) | Dama | ge/Defects | Present | t Val (Parab) | Preser | nt Val (S/L) |
| North Lunenburg Bridge | 31-170 | 2008 | \$600,581 | 3.1% | \$18,792 | 91.1% | \$547,106 | 76.2% | \$457,765 |
| Valade Road Bridge | 31-175 | 1978 | \$861,663 | 0.1% | \$835 | 69.5% | \$598,549 | 52.9% | \$455,962 |
| Red Bridge | 31-181 | 1978 | \$714,762 | 21.8% | \$155,627 | 40.7% | \$290,907 | 21.3% | \$152,301 |
| McMillan Bridge | 31-182 | 2009 | \$830,796 | 2.1% | \$17,335 | 91.8% | \$762,928 | 76.3% | \$633,765 |
| Kennedy Bridge | 31-186 | 2006 | \$445,750 | 0.4% | \$1,960 | 89.2% | \$397,475 | 72.2% | \$321,966 |
| Campbell Bridge | 31-187 | 1988 | \$392,199 | 7.6% | \$29,857 | 69.4% | \$272,071 | 46.9% | \$184,101 |
| Race Track Bridge | 31-208 | 1985 | \$148,106 | 8.6% | \$12,675 | 66.0% | \$97,704 | 44.9% | \$66,449 |
| Shaver Bridge | 31-303 | 1950 | \$317,895 | 19.1% | \$60,680 | <mark>35.4%</mark> | \$112,470 | 27.5% | \$87,349 |
| Johnston Bridge | 31-A21 | 2007 | \$487,584 | 3.2% | \$15,797 | 89.7% | \$437,518 | 73.1% | \$356,394 |
| Grand Total | | | \$4,799,336 | 6.5% | \$313,557 | 73.3% | \$3,516,728 | 56.6% | \$2,716,052 |
| | | | | | | | | | |







<u>Legend</u>

Parabolic:Parabolic Depreciation not including effects of Defects & DamageParabolic DD:Parabolic Depreciation including effects of Defects & DamageStraight Line:Straight-Line Depreciation not including effects of Defects & DamageStrt Ln DD:Straight-Line Depreciation including effects of Defects & Damage





<u>Legend</u>

Parabolic:Parabolic Depreciation not including effects of Defects & DamageParabolic DD:Parabolic Depreciation including effects of Defects & DamageStraight Line:Straight-Line Depreciation not including effects of Defects & DamageStrt Ln DD:Straight-Line Depreciation including effects of Defects & Damage

Average Bridge Depreciation with Investment



| Key | Investment Description | Annual Amount |
|----------|-------------------------------|---------------|
| Invest 0 | No Investment | \$0 |
| Invest 1 | Recommended Capital (Average) | \$65,000 |
| Invest 2 | 0.75% Replacement Value | \$67,500 |
| Invest 3 | 1.0% Replacement Value | \$90,000 |
| Invest 4 | 1.5% Replacement Value | \$135,000 |

Culvert Depreciation Forecast



Original & Depreciated Values

| Original | Now | 5 | 10 | 15 | 20 |
|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-----------|
| \$4,617,000 | \$2,277,747 | \$1,780,617 | \$1,442,175 | \$1,167,499 | \$950,080 |



Average Culvert Depreciation with Investment



| <u>Alliua</u> | AIIIOUIII |
|--|-----------|
| Invest 0 No Investment \$0 | |
| Invest 1 Recommended Capital (Average) \$96,00 | 00 |
| Invest 2 0.75% Replacement Value \$37,50 | 00 |
| Invest 3 1.0% Replacement Value \$50,00 | 00 |
| Invest 4 1.5% Replacement Value \$75,00 | 00 |



| Recom | nmended l | nvesti | gations | 5 | | | | |
|-----------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------|--------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Bridge ID | Name | Deck Condition Survey | Enhanced Inspection | Underwater Investigation | Ice Inspection | Boat Inspection | Structure Evaluation | Load Planning Posting Study |
| 31-170 | North Lunenburg Bridge | I | | | | | \checkmark | |
| 31-208 | Race Track Bride | ge | | | | | | ✓ |
| 31-A21 | Johnston Bridge | | | | | | \checkmark | |

| Perform | Performance Deficiencies Report | | | | |
|-----------|---------------------------------|-------------------------|----------------------------|--|--|
| Bridge ID | Name | Component | Deficiency | | |
| 31-181 | Red Bridge | Embankment | Toxic Weeds | | |
| | | Steel Beam on Wood Post | Weakened | | |
| | | Laminated Rubber Brg | Uneven Bearing | | |
| 31-182 | McMillan Bridge | Embankment | Toxic Weeds | | |
| 31-186 | Kennedy Bridge | Embankment | Toxic Weeds | | |
| | | Delineator | Inadequate Height | | |
| 31-187 | Campbell Bridge | Steel Beam on Wood Post | Weakened | | |
| 31-208 | Race Track Bridge | Steel Post & Guide Rail | Weakened | | |
| 31-303 | Shaver Bridge | Water Channel | Lacking Freeboard | | |
| | | Delineator | Obscured | | |
| | | Steel Sliding Plate | Uneven Bearing | | |
| | | Load Posting | Missing | | |
| 31-A21 | Johnston Bridge | Embankment | Toxic Weeds | | |
| C31-167 | North Lunenburg Road Culvert | Embankment | Toxic Weeds | | |
| C31-169 | North Lunenburg Road Culvert | Embankment | Toxic Weeds | | |
| C31-A01 | Goldfield Road Culvert | Embankment | Toxic Weeds | | |
| C31-A02 | Hunters Road Culvert | CS Plate Pipe Arch | Load Carrying Capacity | | |
| | | Embankment | Toxic Weeds | | |
| C31-A06 | Beckstead Road Culvert | CS Plate Pipe Arch | Insufficient Barrel Length | | |
| | | Embankment | Toxic Weeds | | |
| C31-A12 | Cooper Road Culvert | Steel Beam on Wood Post | Inadequate Height | | |
| | | Embankment | Toxic Weeds | | |
| C31-A13 | Wilburn Road Culvert | Embankment | Toxic Weeds | | |
| | | CS Plate Pipe Arch | Insufficient Barrel Length | | |
| | | Water Channel | Obstructed | | |
| C31-A15 | MacRae Road Culvert | Embankment | Over-steepened | | |
| C31-A16 | Northfield Road Culvert | Embankment | Toxic Weeds | | |
| C31-A18 | O'Keefe Road Culvert | Embankment | Toxic Weeds | | |
| | | Steel Beam on Wood Post | Does'nt Meet New Standard | | |

Bridge Condition Index Report

| Bridge ID | Name | BCI | Program Year |
|-----------------|--|-------|------------------|
| 31-170 | North Lunenburg Bridge | 81.8 | 2027 |
| 31-175 | Valade Road Bridge | 81.4 | |
| 31-181 | Red Bridge | 68.9 | 2022 |
| 31-182 | McMillan Bridge | 83.4 | |
| 31-186 | Kennedy Bridge | 81.1 | |
| 31-187 | Campbell Bridge | 73.1 | 2024 |
| 31-208 | Race Track Bridge | 74.0 | 2024 |
| 31-303 | Shaver Bridge | 69.7 | 2022 |
| 31-A21 | Johnston Bridge | 80.8 | 2026 |
| C31-167 | North Lunenburg Road Culvert | 97.3 | |
| C31-169 | North Lunenburg Road Culvert | 60.4 | 2025 |
| C31-A01 | Goldfield Road Culvert | 96.3 | |
| C31-A02 | Hunters Road Culvert | 54.7 | 2023 |
| C31-A03 | Otto Road Culvert | 90.0 | |
| C31-A06 | Beckstead Road Culvert | 56.4 | 2026 |
| C31-A08 | Anderson Road Culvert | 74.3 | |
| C31-A12 | Cooper Road Culvert | 73.8 | 2024 |
| C31-A13 | Wilburn Road Culvert | 62.4 | |
| C31-A15 | MacRae Road Culvert | 62.9 | 2023 |
| C31-A16 | Northfield Road Culvert | 60.3 | |
| C31-A18 | O'Keefe Road Culvert | 61.8 | 2031 |
| Total Number of | f Structures: 21 BCI Botwoon 50 and 60: 2 BCI Botwoon 50 and 70 | . 7 | BCI Above 70: 12 |
| Percent: 0 | | 33.3% | 57.1% |

Bridge Inspection Report

North Lunenburg Bridge

| Road Name: | North Lunenburg Road West |
|-----------------|------------------------------|
| Site ID: | 31-170 |
| Structure Type: | Conc Rigid Frame Precast |
| Owner: | Township South Stormont |
| Built: | 2008 |
| Length: | 8.7 m |
| Width: | 9.3 m |
| Spans: | 1 |
| Spans Arrange: | 7.9 |
| Feature Under: | Navigable Channel |
| Crossing: | Raisin River |
| Location: | 100 m West of County Road 12 |
| | |

| Inspection Date: | July-28-21 |
|------------------|-------------------------|
| Inspector: | Steve Reid, C.E.T. |
| Assistant: | Kyle Davis, Eng Student |

Comments:

The current condition of this bridge is good. Topping slab has some open cracks, no delaminations at this time. Topping slab not stiff enough to control reflection cracking from joints in precast. Consider overlay, waterproofing and paving to remedy seepage and stiffen slab subject to structural evaluation.

Recommended Investigations:

Structural Evaluation

Recommended Capital Works: *O'Lay, WP&P*

| Estimated Replacement Value: | \$787,000 |
|---|----------------|
| Estimated replacement value is based on replace | nent in kind |
| Estimated Remaining Service Life: | 77 Years |
| Rehabilitation Year and Estimated Cost: | 2027 \$108,000 |

Keystone Bridge Management Corp. 31-170



| AADT: | 200 | Latitude: | 45.06769300 |
|--------|------------|--------------|--------------|
| Lanes: | 2 | Longitude: | -74.96798700 |
| Skew: | <i>0</i> ° | Orientation: | N-S |
| Speed: | 80 km/h | Road Width: | 8.5 m |
| Trucks | | Load Posting | No Posting |



BCI = Bridge Condition Index MTO Calculation

PD = Parabolic Depreciation % retained value

SLD = Straight Line Depreciation % retained value

DD = Defects and Damage % loss of retained value

North Lunenburg Bridge

Component Inspection Information

| Unprotected BSRC Deck (1) | | Defects 30.0% Minor Scaling, Minor Abrasion | | |
|---------------------------|---------------------|--|--|--|
| Topping Slab | | Damage 1.0% Moderate Cracking, Minor Impact | | |
| Length: | 8.7 m | Maintenance None | | |
| Width: | 8.5 m | Capital Rec. None | | |
| Height: | 0.15 m | Wide open longitudinal & transverse cracks in deck surface. Surface is scaled. No delaminations found. Some minor damage at deck ends from plow. Asphalt padding has been added along deck ends. | | |
| Soffit (1) | | Defects 2.0% Minor Staining, Minor Leaching/Seepage | | |
| Deck Sof | ffit | Damage 0.0% | | |
| Length: | 8.7 m | Maintenance None | | |
| Width: | 8.5 m | Capital Rec. None | | |
| Height: | | Good condition. Some minor leach stains at the precast joints. | | |
| Asphalt \ | Near Surf (1) | Defects 0.0% | | |
| Approac | h Wear Surface | Damage 0.0% | | |
| Length: | 10 m | Maintenance None | | |
| Width: | 8.5 m | Capital Rec. None | | |
| Height: | | Satisfactory condition. Asphalt padding next to deck ends due to minor settlement. | | |
| Conc Cu | rb (2) | Defects 0.0% | | |
| Approac | h Curb | Damage 0.0% | | |
| Length: | 9.2 m | Maintenance None | | |
| Width: | 0.4 m | Capital Rec. None | | |
| Height: | 0.25 m | Good condition, steel guide posts anchored to top of curbs. Approach curbs located on top of wing walls. | | |
| Conc Cu | rb (2) | Defects 0.0% | | |
| Curbs | | Damage 0.0% | | |
| Length: | 8.7 m | Maintenance None | | |
| Width: | 0.4 m | Capital Rec. None | | |
| Height: | 0.25 m | <i>Remain in good condition, steel thrie beam posts anchored to top of curbs on deck.</i> | | |
| Steel Pos | st & Guide Rail (4) | Defects 0.0% | | |
| Approac | h Barrier | Damage 0.0% | | |
| Length: | 6 m | Maintenance None | | |
| Width: | | Capital Rec. None | | |
| Height: | 0.72 m | Good condition, located on approaches. Eccentric loader end treatments at the SE & NW. | | |



Component Inspection Information

| Thrie Beam G/R (2) | Defects 0.0% |
|--------------------------------|--|
| Barrier | Damage 0.0% |
| Length: <i>8.7 m</i> Width: | Maintenance None Capital Rec. None |
| Height: 0.72 m | Satisfactory condition. Steel post & thrie beam located on bridge. |
| RC Abutment Wall (2) | Defects 0.1% Minor Leaching Cracks |
| Precast Wall | Damage 1.0% Minor Spalling |
| Length: Width: 9.3 m | Maintenance None Capital Rec. None |
| Height: 1.5 m | Precast walls have some minor parging repairs. 3-sided sections were placed on top of concrete abutment walls without proper bearing, the stress is causing spalling at the base of the precast walls. |
| RC Abutment Wall (2) | Defects 1.0% Minor Leaching Cracks |
| Abutment Stem | Damage 0.0% |
| Length: | Maintenance None |
| Width: 9.3 m | Capital Rec. None |
| Height: 3.2 m | Small abutment walls supporting the precast 3-sided sections. Walls are in good condition, some leaching cracks. |
| RC Wing Walls (4) | Defects 0.0% |
| Wing Walls | Damage 0.1% Minor Spalling |
| Length: 9.2 m | Maintenance None |
| Width: | Capital Rec. None |
| Height: 1.88 m | Remain in good condition. Small spall at the end of the NW wing wall. |
| Water Channel (1) | Defects 0.0% |
| Channel | Damage 0.0% |
| | Maintenance None Capital Rec. None |
| | Well centred under bridge. |
| | |
| Embankment (4) | Defects 0.0% |
| Embankment | Damage 0.0% |
| | Maintenance Remove Brush/Trees |
| | |
| | beil attached to north side of structure. Well groomed on the north side, thick vegetation growth on the south side. |



Capital Needs Cost Estimate Break-Down

| Item | Req'd | Units | Quantity | Unit Price \$ | Estimated Cost |
|--------------------------|--------------|-------|----------|---------------|----------------|
| Misc Concrete Repairs | × | m² | 0.0 | \$800 | \$0 |
| Deck Concrete Overlay | \checkmark | m² | 80.9 | \$400 | \$32,364 |
| Deck Replacement | × | m² | 80.9 | \$2,500 | \$0 |
| Barrier Wall Replacement | × | m | 32.7 | \$3,000 | \$0 |
| Expansion Joint | × | m | 18.6 | \$5,500 | \$0 |
| Waterproof & Pave | \checkmark | m² | 80.9 | \$220 | \$17,800 |
| Bearing Replacement | x | Count | 0.0 | \$5,000 | \$0 |
| Approach Guide Rail | x | m | 80.0 | \$250 | \$0 |

Other Work

\$0

| Structural Items Subtotal | \$50,000 |
|--|-----------|
| Mobilization General Sitework | \$10,000 |
| Estimated Traffic Management & Civil Items | \$30,000 |
| Contract Admin & Contingencies 20% | \$18,000 |
| Total Rehabilitation Cost Estimate | \$108,000 |

Recommended Capital Year 2027

O'Lay, WP&P

Inspection Comments

Recommended Capital Work Summary

The current condition of this bridge is good. Topping slab has some open cracks, no delaminations at this time. Topping slab not stiff enough to control reflection cracking from joints in precast. Consider overlay, waterproofing and paving to remedy seepage and stiffen slab subject to structural evaluation.







North elevation



North channel



South channel

East approach



Deck surface



South railing and curb



North Lunenburg Bridge





North railing and curb



East abutment



Soffit joint leaching and saturation

Typical deck end



Soffit



West abutment



North Lunenburg Bridge

Bridge Inspection Report

Valade Road Bridge

| Road Name: | Valade Rd. |
|--------------------------------|--|
| Site ID: | 31-175 |
| Structure Type: | Prestressed Solid Slab |
| Owner: | Township South Stormont |
| Built: | 1978 |
| Length: | 22.25 m |
| Width: | 6.73 m |
| Spans: | 1 |
| Spans Arrange: | 21.1 |
| Feature Under: | Navigable Channel |
| Crossing: | Raisin River |
| Location: | 0.1 km East of County Road 18, Con 6 Lot 19 |
| Inspection Date: | June-29-21 |
| Inspector: | Steve Reid, C.E.T. |
| Assistant: | Kyle Davis, Eng Student |
| Comments: Bridge under goin | g rehab in 2021. |



| AADT: | N/A | Latitude: | 45.09048100 |
|--------|------------|--------------|--------------|
| Lanes: | 1 | Longitude: | -74.83533100 |
| Skew: | <i>0</i> ° | Orientation: | E-W |
| Speed: | 80 km/h | Road Width: | 5.7 m |
| Trucks | | Load Posting | No Posting |



BCI = Bridge Condition Index MTO Calculation

PD = Parabolic Depreciation % retained value

SLD = Straight Line Depreciation % retained value

DD = Defects and Damage % loss of retained value



Recommended Investigations:

Recommended Capital Works:

Estimated Replacement Value:

Estimated Remaining Service Life:

No Capital Works Recommendations

Estimated replacement value is based on replacement in kind

No Special Investigations Recommended

Keystone Bridge Management Corp. 31-175

\$1,265,000

67 Years

Valade Road Bridge

Component Inspection Information

| Unprotected BSRC Deck (1) | Defects 0.0% |
|--|---|
| Topping Slab | Damage 0.0% |
| Length: 22.25 m | Maintenance None |
| Width: 6.73 m | Capital Rec. None |
| Height: | |
| Soffit (1) | Defects 0.0% |
| Deck Soffit | Damage 0.0% |
| Length: 21.4 m | Maintenance None |
| Width: 6.3 m | Capital Rec. None |
| Height: | Soffit is underside of box girders. See girder notes. |
| Armouring (2) | Defects 0.0% |
| Expansion Joints | Damage 0.0% |
| Length: 5.73 m | Maintenance None |
| Width: | Capital Rec. None |
| Height: | |
| | |
| Conc Curb (2) | Defects 0.0% |
| Curbs | Damage 0.0% |
| Length: 22.25 m | Maintenance None |
| Width: 0.5 m | Capital Rec. None |
| Height: 0.19 m | |
| Steel Tube Rail & Post (2) | Defects 0.0% |
| Barrier | Damage 0.0% |
| Length: 25 m | Maintenance None |
| Width: | Capital Rec. None |
| Height: 0.64 m | |
| Steel Beam on Steel Post (2 Defects 0.0% | |
| Guide Rail | Damage |
| Length: 87.5 m | Maintenance None |
| Width: | Capital Rec. None |
| Height: 0.8 m | |



Component Inspection Information

| Conc Rai | I/End Posts (4) | Defects 0.0% | |
|-----------|-------------------|---|--------|
| Barrier | | Damage 0.0% | |
| Length: | 1.2 m | Maintenance None | |
| Width: | 0.35 m | Capital Rec. None | |
| Height: | 0.64 m | | |
| PC Box (| 5) | Defects 0.5% Minor Loaching Cracks Minor Loaching/Soopage | |
| | 5) | Demoge 0.0% | |
| | 04.4 | Maintananaa Nana | |
| | 21.4 m | | |
| | 1.2 m | Eascia of exterior girders repaired in 2021 Minor delaminations on | |
| Height: | 0.7 m | bottom of girders at east end due to leaking joint. | |
| RC Abutr | nent Wall (2) | Defects 0.0% | |
| Abutmen | t Stem | Damage 0.0% | |
| Length: | | Maintenance None | |
| Width: | 6.3 m | Capital Rec. None | |
| Height: | 1.7 m | Good condition. | |
| RC Ballas | st Wall (2) | Defects 0.0% | |
| Ballast W | all | Damage 0.0% | |
| l enath: | 6.3 m | Maintenance None | |
| Width | | Capital Rec. None | |
| Height: | 0.85 m | Satisfactory condition. | |
| RC Wing | Walls (4) | Defects 0.0% | |
| Wing Wa | lls | Damage 0 0% | |
| Length: | 20m | Maintenance None | |
| Width | 2.5 111 | Capital Rec. None | |
| Height: | 1 2 m | Good condition. | |
| neight. | 1.2 111 | | |
| Laminate | d Rubber Brg (28) | Defects 0.0% | |
| Abutmen | t Bearings | Damage 0.0% | |
| Length: | | Maintenance None Partial Insp | ection |
| Width: | | Capital Rec. None | |
| Height: | | Limited views of bearings, visible bearings were in good condition. | |


| Water Channel (1) | Defects 0.0% |
|-------------------|-------------------------|
| Channel | Damage 0.0% |
| | Maintenance None |
| | Capital Rec. None |
| | No concerns. |
| | |
| Embankment (4) | Defects 0.0% |
| Embankment | Damage 0.0% |
| | Maintenance None |
| | Capital Rec. None |
| | |
| Delineator (4) | Defects 0.0% |
| Signs | Damage 0.0% |
| Length: | Maintenance None |
| Width: | Capital Rec. None |
| Height: | |



Under construction





Bridge Inspection Report

Red Bridge

Comments:

exterior girders.

| Road Name: | Lefebvre Road |
|------------------|---|
| Site ID: | 31-181 |
| Structure Type: | Prestressed Solid Slab |
| Owner: | Township South Stormont |
| Built: | 1978 |
| Length: | 19.6 m |
| Width: | 6.4 m |
| Spans: | 1 |
| Spans Arrange: | 19.6 |
| Feature Under: | Water |
| Crossing: | Raisin River |
| Location: | 0.15 km North of County Rd 18, Con 6 Lot 7 |
| Inspection Date: | June-29-21 |
| Inspector: | Steve Reid, C.E.T. |
| Assistant: | Kyle Davis, Eng Student |

This bridge is planned for a major rehabilitation,

2022. Joints and barrier system are driving the need for rehab. Poor drainage from bridge is damaging



| AADT: | N/A | Latitude: | 45.10995300 |
|--------|------------|---------------|--------------|
| Lanes: | 2 | Longitude: | -74.77310700 |
| Skew: | <i>0</i> ° | Orientation: | N-S |
| Speed: | 80 km/h | Road Width: | 5.2 m |
| Trucks | | Load Posting: | No Posting |



BCI = Bridge Condition Index MTO Calculation

PD = Parabolic Depreciation % retained value

SLD = Straight Line Depreciation % retained value

DD = Defects and Damage % loss of retained value

Recommended Investigations:

No Special Investigations Recommended

Recommended Capital Works:

Misc Concrete Repairs, O'Lay, B/Wall, Guide Rail, Ret Walls, Abut Rep

Estimated Replacement Value:\$1,064,000Estimated replacement value is based on replacement in kindEstimated Remaining Service Life:47 YearsRehabilitation Year and Estimated Cost:2022\$493,000

Keystone Bridge Management Corp. 31-181

Red Bridge

| RC Topp | ing Slab (1) | Defects 0.0% |
|-------------------|-------------------|--|
| Deck Su | face | Damage 0.0% |
| Length: | 19.6 m | Maintenance None |
| Width: Height: | 6.4 m | Concrete topping slab on top of box girders. Deck is covered with asphalt. Evidence of recent deck condition survey in asphalt. No proper drainage from deck, runoff is off the edges of bridge. |
| Soffit (1) | | Defects 5.0% Minor Staining |
| Deck Sof | fit | Damage 5.0% Minor Delamination |
| Length: Width: | 19.6 m 6.4 m | Maintenance None Capital Rec. None |
| Height: | | Soffit is the bottom of box girders. North end is stained (no spalling) due to fire pit under bridge. See girders. |
| Asphalt \ | Near Surf (1) | Defects 0.0% |
| Wear Su | rface | Damage 5.0% Moderate Cracking |
| Length: Width: | 19.6 m 5.2 m | Maintenance None Capital Rec. None |
| Height: | | Numerous random cracks. Evidence of recent condition survey. Potholes in the approach surface. |
| X- Joint (| Conventional (2) | Defects 0.0% |
| Expansio | on Joints | Damage 15.0% Major End Dam Breakage, Major Plow Gouging |
| Length: Width: | 6.4 m | Maintenance None Capital Rec. Replace in 2 years |
| Height: | | Joint seals are over compressed. Tops of both ballast walls have major damage from winter plow. Armouring also has minor plow scrapes. |
| Steel Bea | am on Wood Post (| Defects 0.0% |
| Guide Ra | nil | Damage 15.0% Major Decay, Moderate Impact |
| Length: Width: | 42.5 m | Maintenance None Capital Rec. Replace in 2 years Perf Def: Weakened |
| Height: | 0.72 m | <i>Guide rail has many collision scrapes. One post is completely severed at NE end. 47 m (E) + 38 m (W).</i> |
| RC Box (| 7) | Defects 7.0% Moderate Staining, Minor Rust Staining |
| Girders | | Damage 2.0% Minor Delamination |
| Length: | 19.6 m | Maintenance None |
| Width: | 0.9 m | Capital Rec. None |
| Height: | 0.7 m | Delaminated area on bottom of west girder. Spall on exterior of west girder. Lack of drainage from deck is causing damage to exterior girders also missing drain tubes from box girders are causing damage. Soot staining on underside of girders at north end evident of camp fires under bridge. |



| RC Abutr | nent Wall (2) | Defects 22.0% Moderate Scaling, Minor Graffiti |
|-----------|------------------|--|
| Abutmen | t Stem | Damage 2.0% Minor Delamination, Minor Spalling |
| Length: | | Maintenance None |
| Width: | 6.4 m | Capital Rec. None |
| Height: | 2.7 m | Abutments tops were recast. NW corner has spalls and delaminated areas under girder bearing. NW girder bearing is compromised due to the damaged bearing seat in NW corner. Old abutment walls have moderate scaling some disintegration. |
| RC Ballas | st Wall (2) | Defects 10.0% Moderate Scrapes/Gouging |
| Ballast W | /all | Damage 1.0% Minor Spalling |
| Length: | | Maintenance None Not Inspected |
| Width: | 6.4 m | Capital Rec. None |
| Height: | 0.8 m | Unable to view. Exposed top is damaged from winter plow. |
| RC Wing | Walls (4) | Defects 0.0% |
| Wing Wa | lls | Damage 0.0% |
| Length: | 1.8 m | Maintenance None |
| Width: | | Capital Rec. None |
| Height: | 1.4 m | Satisfactory condition. |
| Laminate | d Rubber Brg (2) | Defects 0.0% |
| Abutmen | t Bearings | Damage 0.0% |
| Length: | | Maintenance None Partial Inspection |
| Width: | | Capital Rec. None Perf Def: Uneven Bearing |
| Height: | | Only visible at corners of abutments. NW corner is lacking support due to damage at bearing seat. |
| Water Ch | annel (1) | Defects 0.0% |
| Channel | | Damage 0.0% |
| | | Maintenance None Capital Rec. None |
| | | Stream well centered under bridge. |
| Fmbankn | nent (4) | Defects 5.0% Moderate Frosion |
| Embankn | nent | |
| LinganAn | | Maintenance Remove Brush/Trees |
| | | Capital Rec. None Perf Def: Toxic Weeds |
| | | <i>Trees & brush require brushing out, notably in the SW corner. Wild parsnip present. Erosion is occurring around the disintegrating retaining walls.</i> |



| Delineator (4) | Defects 0.0% |
|----------------|---|
| Signs | Damage 1.0% Minor Impact |
| Length: | Maintenance None |
| Width: | Capital Rec. None |
| Height: | Delineators at all guide rail ends. Some minor traffic scrapes. |

Capital Needs Cost Estimate Break-Down

| Item | Req'd | Units | Quantity | Unit Price \$ | Estimated Cost |
|--------------------------|--------------|-------|----------|---------------|----------------|
| Misc Concrete Repairs | \checkmark | m² | 20.0 | \$800 | \$16,000 |
| Deck Concrete Overlay | \checkmark | m² | 125.4 | \$400 | \$50,176 |
| Deck Replacement | × | m² | 125.4 | \$2,500 | \$0 |
| Barrier Wall Replacement | \checkmark | m | 43.6 | \$3,000 | \$189,600 |
| Expansion Joint | × | m | 12.8 | \$5,500 | \$0 |
| Waterproof & Pave | × | m² | 125.4 | \$220 | \$0 |
| Bearing Replacement | × | Count | 14.0 | \$5,000 | \$0 |
| Approach Guide Rail | \checkmark | m | 80.0 | \$250 | \$40,000 |

Other Work Ret Walls, Abut Rep

\$50,000

| Structural Items Subtotal | \$346,000 |
|--|-----------|
| Mobilization General Sitework | \$35,000 |
| Estimated Traffic Management & Civil Items | \$30,000 |
| Contract Admin & Contingencies 20% | \$82,000 |
| Total Rehabilitation Cost Estimate | \$493,000 |

Recommended Capital Year 2022

Misc Concrete Repairs, O'Lay, B/Wall, Guide Rail, Ret Walls, Abut Rep

Inspection Comments

Recommended Capital Work Summary

This bridge is planned for a major rehabilitation, 2022. Joints and barrier system are driving the need for rehab. Poor drainage from bridge is damaging exterior girders.





Image 894

South approach



West elevation



South expansion joint



North expansion joint

East channel downstream



West channel upstream



Red Bridge





Asphalt on deck with random cracking (typical)



Post rot (typical)



North abutment

West guide rail (typical)



NE guide rail damage



Large spall NW corner



Red Bridge





Soffit



NW corner disintegration under expansion joint



Soot stains in north soffit

NW bearing seat disintegration



Deck drain end with saturation and rust (typical)



South abutment



Keystone Bridge Management Corp. 31-181



SE wing wall disintegration



Soffit delamination at west



Erosion NE corner



Spalls on east fascia



East elevation



North expansion joint end dam damage





Bridge Inspection Report

McMillan Bridge

| Road Name: | Delaney Road |
|------------------|--|
| Site ID: | 31-182 |
| Structure Type: | Slab on Steel Girder |
| Owner: | Township South Stormont |
| Built: | 2009 |
| Length: | 21.8 m |
| Width: | 9.5 m |
| Spans: | 1 |
| Spans Arrange: | 19.2 |
| Feature Under: | Navigable Channel |
| Crossing: | Raisin River |
| Location: | 0.5km North of County Rd 18, Lot 1, Concession 6, |
| Inspection Date: | June-29-21 |
| Inspector: | Steve Reid, C.E.T. |
| Assistant: | Kyle Davis, Eng Student |
| Comments: | |

Current condition of this bridge is good, minor

maintenance recommended at this time.

Recommended Investigations:

Recommended Capital Works:

Estimated Replacement Value:

Estimated Remaining Service Life:

No Capital Works Recommendations

Estimated replacement value is based on replacement in kind

No Special Investigations Recommended



| AADT: | 22 | Latitude: | 45.12453600 |
|--------|------------|--------------|--------------|
| Lanes: | 2 | Longitude: | -74.76022500 |
| Skew: | <i>0</i> ° | Orientation: | N-S |
| Speed: | 80 km/h | Road Width: | 8.5 m |
| Trucks | | Load Posting | : No Posting |



BCI = Bridge Condition Index MTO Calculation

PD = Parabolic Depreciation % retained value

SLD = Straight Line Depreciation % retained value

DD = Defects and Damage % loss of retained value



Keystone Bridge Management Corp. 31-182

\$1,467,000

78 Years

McMillan Bridge

| Unprotected BSRC Deck (1) | Defects 15.0% Minor Scaling, Minor Scrapes/Gouging |
|-----------------------------|---|
| Deck Surface | Damage 0.2% Minor Cracking |
| Length: 21.8 m | Maintenance None |
| Width: 9.5 m | Capital Rec. None |
| Height: 0.23 m | Most of tining has been lost. Minor plow gouging at north end. Transverse cracks at north end. Scaling of exposed concrete surface. Chain drag 2021 found no delaminations. |
| Soffit (1) | Defects 0.0% |
| Deck Soffit | Damage 0.0% |
| Length: 21.8 m | Maintenance None |
| Width: 8.4 m | Capital Rec. None |
| Height: | Good condition. |
| Approach Slab (2) | Defects 0.0% |
| Approach Slab | Damage 0.1% Minor Delamination |
| Length: 6 m | Maintenance None |
| Width: 9.5 m | Capital Rec. None |
| Height: | Tining has mostly worn off. Surface treatment extends partially on to approach slabs. Small delamination in the south slab NW corner. |
| Asphalt Wear Surf (1) | Defects 0.0% |
| Appr Wear Surface | Damage 0.0% |
| Length: 10 m | Maintenance None |
| Width: 9.5 m | Capital Rec. None |
| Height: | Surface treatment on approaches to bridge. Good condition. |
| Conc Curb (2) | Defects 4.0% Minor Scaling |
| Curbs | Damage 0.5% Minor Cracking |
| Length: 29.2 m | Maintenance None |
| Width: 0.59 m | Capital Rec. None |
| Height: 0.15 m | Curbs have a very poor finish. Many transverse cracks in top of curbs. Curb on SE wing wall appears to be in the worst condition. |
| Steel Beam on Steel Post (2 | Defects 0.0% |
| Guide Rail | Damage 0.0% |
| Length: 102.4 m | Maintenance None |
| Width: | Capital Rec. None |
| Height: 0.72 m | Eccentric loader end treatment at all guide rail ends. Erosion at corners of bridge have exposed guide rail posts next to end walls. 94.20 m (W) + 110.60 m (E) |



| Steel Beam (20) | Defects 0.0% |
|--|--|
| Diaphragms | Damage 0.0% |
| Length: 2.1 m | Maintenance None |
| Width: 0.165 m | Capital Rec. None |
| Height: 0.31 m | Good condition. Painted at abutments. |
| Thrie Beam G/R (2) | Defects 0.0% |
| Barrier | Damage 0.0% |
| Length: 29.2 m | Maintenance None |
| Width: | Capital Rec. None |
| Height: 0.69 m | Thrie beams are mounted on the bridge curbs, condition is good. |
| Steel-Fabricated (5) | Defects 0.0% |
| Girders | Damage 0.0% |
| Length: 19.6 m | Maintenance None |
| Width: 0.292 m | Capital Rec. None |
| Height: 0.84 m | Girders are in good condition. Ends of girders are nicely coated. |
| | |
| RC Abutment Wall (2) | Defects 1.0% Minor Graffiti, Minor Scaling |
| RC Abutment Wall (2) Abutment Stem | Defects 1.0% Minor Graffiti, Minor Scaling Damage 0.1% Minor Cracking |
| RC Abutment Wall (2) <i>Abutment Stem</i> Length: | Defects 1.0% Minor Graffiti, Minor Scaling Damage 0.1% Minor Cracking Maintenance None |
| RC Abutment Wall (2) <i>Abutment Stem</i> Length: Width: 9.3 m | Defects 1.0% Minor Graffiti, Minor Scaling Damage 0.1% Minor Cracking Maintenance None Capital Rec. None |
| RC Abutment Wall (2)Abutment StemLength:Width:9.3 mHeight:3.65 m | Defects1.0%Minor Graffiti, Minor ScalingDamage0.1%Minor CrackingMaintenanceNoneCapital Rec.NoneSome areas of poor segregation of concrete on north wall. Graffiti on both walls mainly south. Rip rap against walls. |
| RC Abutment Wall (2) Abutment Stem Length: Width: 9.3 m Height: 3.65 m RC Ballast Wall (2) | Defects 1.0% Minor Graffiti, Minor Scaling Damage 0.1% Minor Cracking Maintenance None Capital Rec. None Some areas of poor segregation of concrete on north wall. Graffiti on both walls mainly south. Rip rap against walls. Defects 0.1% Minor Leaching Cracks |
| RC Abutment Wall (2)Abutment StemLength:Width:9.3 mHeight:3.65 mRC Ballast Wall (2)Ballast Wall | Defects 1.0% Minor Graffiti, Minor Scaling Damage 0.1% Minor Cracking Maintenance None Capital Rec. None Some areas of poor segregation of concrete on north wall. Graffiti on both walls mainly south. Rip rap against walls. Defects 0.1% Minor Leaching Cracks Damage 0.0% |
| RC Abutment Wall (2)Abutment StemLength:Width:9.3 mHeight:3.65 mRC Ballast Wall (2)Ballast WallLength: | Defects 1.0% Minor Graffiti, Minor Scaling Damage 0.1% Minor Cracking Maintenance None Capital Rec. None Some areas of poor segregation of concrete on north wall. Graffiti on both walls mainly south. Rip rap against walls. Defects 0.1% Minor Leaching Cracks Damage 0.0% Maintenance None |
| RC Abutment Wall (2)Abutment StemLength:Width:9.3 mHeight:3.65 mRC Ballast Wall (2)Ballast WallLength:Width:9.3 m | Defects 1.0% Minor Graffiti, Minor Scaling Damage 0.1% Minor Cracking Maintenance None Capital Rec. None Some areas of poor segregation of concrete on north wall. Graffiti on both walls mainly south. Rip rap against walls. Defects 0.1% Minor Leaching Cracks Damage 0.0% Maintenance None Capital Rec. None Partial Inspection |
| RC Abutment Wall (2)Abutment StemLength:Width:9.3 mHeight:3.65 mRC Ballast Wall (2)Ballast WallLength:Width:9.3 mHeight:0.58 m | Defects 1.0% Minor Graffiti, Minor Scaling Damage 0.1% Minor Cracking Maintenance None Capital Rec. None Some areas of poor segregation of concrete on north wall. Graffiti on both walls mainly south. Rip rap against walls. Defects 0.1% Defects 0.1% Minor Leaching Cracks Damage 0.0% Partial Inspection Capital Rec. None Semi-integral abutments. Leaching crack in the NW corner. |
| RC Abutment Wall (2)Abutment StemLength:9.3 mHeight:3.65 mRC Ballast Wall (2)Ballast Wall (2)Ballast Wall (2)Ballast WallLength: | Defects 1.0% Minor Graffiti, Minor Scaling Damage 0.1% Minor Cracking Maintenance None Capital Rec. None Some areas of poor segregation of concrete on north wall. Graffiti on both walls mainly south. Rip rap against walls. Graffiti on both Defects 0.1% Minor Leaching Cracks Damage 0.0% Partial Inspection Capital Rec. None Partial Inspection Capital Rec. None Semi-integral abutments. Leaching crack in the NW corner. Defects 0.0% Defects 0.0% |
| RC Abutment Wall (2)Abutment StemLength:Width:9.3 mHeight:3.65 mRC Ballast Wall (2)Ballast WallLength:Width:9.3 mHeight:0.58 mRC Wing Walls (4)Wing Walls | Defects 1.0% Minor Graffiti, Minor Scaling Damage 0.1% Minor Cracking Maintenance None Capital Rec. None Some areas of poor segregation of concrete on north wall. Graffiti on both walls mainly south. Rip rap against walls. Defects 0.1% Defects 0.1% Minor Leaching Cracks Damage 0.0% Partial Inspection Capital Rec. None Partial Inspection Capital Rec. None Semi-integral abutments. Leaching crack in the NW corner. Defects 0.0% Damage 0.0% |
| RC Abutment Wall (2)Abutment StemLength:Width:9.3 mHeight:3.65 mRC Ballast Wall (2)Ballast Wall (2)Ballast WallLength:Width:9.3 mHeight:0.58 mRC Wing Walls (4)Wing WallsLength:5.3 m | Defects 1.0% Minor Graffiti, Minor Scaling Damage 0.1% Minor Cracking Maintenance None Capital Rec. None Some areas of poor segregation of concrete on north wall. Graffiti on both walls mainly south. Rip rap against walls. Graffiti on both wall. Graffiti on both walls mainly south. Rip rap against walls. Defects 0.1% Minor Leaching Cracks Damage 0.0% Partial Inspection Capital Rec. None Partial Inspection Capital Rec. None Semi-integral abutments. Leaching crack in the NW corner. Defects 0.0% Damage 0.0% Maintenance None Minor Capital Rec. None Semi-integral abutments. Leaching crack in the NW corner. Defects 0.0% Maintenance None |
| RC Abutment Wall (2)Abutment StemLength:Width:9.3 mHeight:3.65 mRC Ballast Wall (2)Ballast Wall (2)Ballast WallLength:Width:9.3 mHeight:0.58 mRC Wing Walls (4)Wing WallsLength:5.3 mWidth:5.3 m | Defects 1.0% Minor Graffiti, Minor Scaling Damage 0.1% Minor Cracking Maintenance None Capital Rec. None Some areas of poor segregation of concrete on north wall. Graffiti on both walls mainly south. Rip rap against walls. Graffiti on both wall. Graffiti on both walls mainly south. Rip rap against walls. Defects 0.1% Minor Leaching Cracks Damage 0.0% Partial Inspection Capital Rec. None Partial Inspection Capital Rec. None Semi-integral abutments. Leaching crack in the NW corner. Defects 0.0% Damage 0.0% Maintenance None Capital Rec. None Defects 0.0% Maintenance None Capital Rec. None Capital Rec. None |



| Laminated Rubber Brg (10) | Defects 0.0% | |
|---------------------------|---|-------------------------------------|
| Abutment Bearings | Damage 0.0% | |
| Length: | Maintenance None | Partial Inspection |
| Width: | Capital Rec. None | |
| Height: | Satisfactory condition. Only limited views. | |
| Water Channel (1) | Defects 0.0% | |
| Channel | Damage 0.0% | |
| | Maintenance None | |
| | Capital Rec. None | |
| | Water moving well under bridge. | |
| | | |
| Embankment (4) | Defects 5.0% Moderate Erosion | |
| Embankment | Damage 0.0% | |
| | Maintenance Remove Brush/Trees | |
| | Capital Rec. None Pe | rf Def: Toxic Weeds |
| | Trees & brush around wing walls & under bridge shou Erosion at the ends of curbs. Wild parsnip noted on er protection against abutment walls. | ld be cleared. mbankments. Stone |
| Delineator (2) | Defects 0.0% | |
| Signs | Damage 1.0% Minor Impact | |
| Length: | Maintenance None | |
| Width: | Capital Rec. None | |
| Height: | Delineator all intact. | |







East elevation



Concrete deck surface



West channel

South approach



East channel



East railing and curb



McMillan Bridge



West railing and curb



Coated girder ends (typical)



North abutment



North deck end



Soffit



South abutment



McMillan Bridge



Hairline transverse crack in soffit



Girder bottom condition (typical)



Typical diaphragm in south



West elevation



Bridge Inspection Report

Kennedy Bridge

| Delaney Road |
|---|
| 31-186 |
| Precast Arch |
| Township South Stormont |
| 2006 |
| 11.3 m |
| 9 m |
| 1 |
| 11 |
| Water |
| North Raisin River |
| 75m South of McPhail Rd, Lot 1 Concession 7, |
| June-29-21 |
| Steve Reid, C.E.T. |
| Kyle Davis, Eng Student |
| |

Structure is in overall good condition. The approach

guide rail will need updating within 10 years.

Recommended Investigations:

Recommended Capital Works:

Estimated Replacement Value:

Estimated Remaining Service Life:

No Capital Works Recommendations

Estimated replacement value is based on replacement in kind

No Special Investigations Recommended



| AADT: | 200 | Latitude: | 45.14623900 |
|--------|------------|--------------|--------------|
| Lanes: | 2 | Longitude: | -74.77131600 |
| Skew: | <i>0</i> ° | Orientation: | N-S |
| Speed: | 80 km/h | Road Width: | 7.6 m |
| Trucks | | Load Posting | No Posting |



BCI = Bridge Condition Index MTO Calculation

PD = Parabolic Depreciation % retained value

SLD = Straight Line Depreciation % retained value

DD = Defects and Damage % loss of retained value



Comments:

Keystone Bridge Management Corp. 31-186

\$602,000

75 Years

Kennedy Bridge

| Precast Concrete Arch (1) | Defects 0.5% Minor Graffiti, Minor Formed Patches |
|--|---|
| Conduit | Damage 0.0% |
| Length: 11 m | Maintenance None |
| Width: 9 m | Capital Rec. None |
| Height: 3.1 m | Overall very good condition. Graffiti on the north wall. Small repair in soffit, likely due to handling spall. |
| RC Topping Slab (1) | Defects 0.0% |
| Deck Surface | Damage 0.0% |
| Length: 11.3 m | Maintenance None Partial Inspection |
| Width: 9 m | Capital Rec. None |
| Height: | Covered with asphalt, suspect no problems on deck. |
| Asphalt Wear Surf (1) | Defects 0.0% |
| Wear Surface | Damage 0.0% |
| Length: 11.3 m | Maintenance None |
| Width: 7.6 m | Capital Rec. None |
| Height: | Good condition. Few small cracks. |
| | |
| Conc Curb (2) | Detects U.U% |
| Conc Curb (2) <i>Curbs</i> | Defects 0.0% Damage 0.0% |
| Conc Curb (2) <i>Curbs</i> Length: <i>11.8 m</i> | Defects 0.0% Damage 0.0% Maintenance None |
| Conc Curb (2) Curbs Length: 11.8 m Width: 0.4 m | Defects 0.0% Damage 0.0% Maintenance None Capital Rec. None |
| Conc Curb (2) Curbs Length: 11.8 m Width: 0.4 m Height: 0.1 m | Defects 0.0% Damage 0.0% Maintenance None Capital Rec. None Good condition. Thrie beam posts secured to curb tops. |
| Conc Curb (2) <i>Curbs</i> Length: 11.8 m Width: 0.4 m Height: 0.1 m Steel Beam on Steel Post (4) | Defects 0.0% Damage 0.0% Maintenance None Capital Rec. None Good condition. Thrie beam posts secured to curb tops. Defects 0.0% |
| Conc Curb (2) <i>Curbs</i> Length: 11.8 m Width: 0.4 m Height: 0.1 m Steel Beam on Steel Post (4 <i>Guide Rail</i> | Defects 0.0% Damage 0.0% Maintenance None Capital Rec. None Good condition. Thrie beam posts secured to curb tops. Defects 0.0% Damage 1.0% Minor Impact |
| Conc Curb (2) <i>Curbs</i> Length: 11.8 m Width: 0.4 m Height: 0.1 m Steel Beam on Steel Post (4 <i>Guide Rail</i> Length: 24.5 m | Defects 0.0% Damage 0.0% Maintenance None Capital Rec. None <i>Good condition. Thrie beam posts secured to curb tops.</i> Defects 0.0% Damage 1.0% Minor Impact Maintenance None |
| Conc Curb (2) <i>Curbs</i> Length: 11.8 m Width: 0.4 m Height: 0.1 m Steel Beam on Steel Post (4 <i>Guide Rail</i> Length: 24.5 m Width: | Defects 0.0% Damage 0.0% Maintenance None Capital Rec. None Good condition. Thrie beam posts secured to curb tops. Defects 0.0% Damage 1.0% Minor Impact Maintenance None Capital Rec. None |
| Conc Curb (2) <i>Curbs</i> Length: 11.8 m Width: 0.4 m Height: 0.1 m Steel Beam on Steel Post (4 <i>Guide Rail</i> Length: 24.5 m Width: Height: 0.72 m | Defects 0.0% Damage 0.0% Maintenance None Capital Rec. None Good condition. Thrie beam posts secured to curb tops. Defects 0.0% Damage 1.0% Minor Impact Maintenance None Capital Rec. None Buried ends in the NE & SW, eccentric loader end treatment in the NW & SE. Several areas of impact damage to approach guide rail. Small impact strike in the NW. |
| Conc Curb (2) <i>Curbs</i> Length: 11.8 m Width: 0.4 m Height: 0.1 m Steel Beam on Steel Post (4 <i>Guide Rail</i> Length: 24.5 m Width: Height: 0.72 m Thrie Beam G/R (2) | Defects 0.0% Damage 0.0% Maintenance None Capital Rec. None Good condition. Thrie beam posts secured to curb tops. Defects 0.0% Damage 1.0% Minor Impact Maintenance None Capital Rec. None Buried ends in the NE & SW, eccentric loader end treatment in the NW & SE. Several areas of impact damage to approach guide rail. Small impact strike in the NW. Defects 0.0% |
| Conc Curb (2) <i>Curbs</i> Length: 11.8 m Width: 0.4 m Height: 0.1 m Steel Beam on Steel Post (4 <i>Guide Rail</i> Length: 24.5 m Width: Height: 0.72 m Thrie Beam G/R (2) <i>Barrier</i> | Defects 0.0% Damage 0.0% Maintenance None Capital Rec. None Good condition. Thrie beam posts secured to curb tops. Defects 0.0% Damage 1.0% Minor Impact Maintenance None Capital Rec. None Buried ends in the NE & SW, eccentric loader end treatment in the NW & SE. Several areas of impact damage to approach guide rail. Small impact strike in the NW. Defects 0.0% Damage 0.0% |
| Conc Curb (2) <i>Curbs</i> Length: 11.8 m Width: 0.4 m Height: 0.1 m Steel Beam on Steel Post (4 <i>Guide Rail</i> Length: 24.5 m Width: Height: 0.72 m Thrie Beam G/R (2) <i>Barrier</i> Length: 11 m | Defects 0.0% Damage 0.0% Maintenance None Capital Rec. None Good condition. Thrie beam posts secured to curb tops. Defects 0.0% Damage 1.0% Minor Impact Maintenance None Capital Rec. None Buried ends in the NE & SW, eccentric loader end treatment in the NW & SE. Several areas of impact damage to approach guide rail. Small impact strike in the NW. Defects 0.0% Damage 0.0% Maintenance None |
| Conc Curb (2) <i>Curbs</i> Length: 11.8 m Width: 0.4 m Height: 0.1 m Steel Beam on Steel Post (4 <i>Guide Rail</i> Length: 24.5 m Width: Height: 0.72 m Thrie Beam G/R (2) <i>Barrier</i> Length: 11 m Width: | Derects 0.0% Damage 0.0% Maintenance None Capital Rec. None Good condition. Thrie beam posts secured to curb tops. Defects 0.0% Damage 1.0% Minor Impact Maintenance None Capital Rec. None Buried ends in the NE & SW, eccentric loader end treatment in the NW & SE. Several areas of impact damage to approach guide rail. Small impact strike in the NW. Defects 0.0% Damage 0.0% Maintenance None Capital Rec. None |



| RC Wing Walls (4) | Defects 1.0% Minor Scaling |
|-------------------|--|
| Wing Walls | Damage 0.0% |
| Length: 7 m | Maintenance None |
| Width: 0.25 m | Capital Rec. None |
| Height: 2.4 m | Good condition. Some light scaling on the SW wall. |
| Headwall (2) | Defects 0.0% |
| Head Wall | Damage 0.0% |
| Length: 11.3 m | Maintenance None |
| Width: | Capital Rec. None |
| Height: 1 m | <i>Headwalls have varied height. West headwall has small area of damage, appears to have been done at time of construction.</i> |
| Water Channel (1) | Defects 0.0% |
| Channel | Damage 0.0% |
| | Maintenance None |
| | Capital Rec. None |
| | Good condition. |
| Embankment (4) | Defects 0.5% Minor Gullying |
| Embankment | Damage 0.0% |
| | Maintenance None |
| | Capital Rec. None Perf Def: Toxic Weeds |
| | Large stone protection at ends of wing walls. Some gullying in the NW embankment from rain water runoff. Wild parsnip was noted. |
| Delineator (4) | Defects 0.0% |
| Signs | Damage 0.0% |
| Length: | Maintenance None |
| Width: | Capital Rec. None Perf Def: Inadequate Height |
| Height: | Signs are located at the ends of guide rail. Signs are set too low. |
| | |





East elevation



West channel



East railing and curb

South approach



East channel



West curb joint and plate







Asphalt on deck



Soffit



North wall

Gullying NW



South wall



NE wing wall (typical)





Bridge Inspection Report

Campbell Bridge

| Road Name: | McPhail Road |
|------------------|---|
| Site ID: | 31-187 |
| Structure Type: | Concrete Rigid Frame CIP |
| Owner: | Township South Stormont |
| Built: | 1988 |
| Length: | 13.3 m |
| Width: | 10.1 m |
| Spans: | 1 |
| Spans Arrange: | 12 |
| Feature Under: | Water |
| Crossing: | North Raisin River |
| Location: | 5km East of Hwy. 138, Lot 1, Concession 7 & 8, |
| Inspection Date: | June-29-21 |
| Inspector: | Steve Reid, C.E.T. |
| Assistant: | Kyle Davis, Eng Student |



Bridge is in good condition. Lack of proper drainage control on bridge is causing damage to soffit on the south side and will eventually damage abutment walls if left in current condition. The guide rail has many areas of collision damage due to the curved road alignment at the bridge. Buried end treatments do not meet the current standards. Due to the damage and performance deficiencies recommend the guide rail be replaced within two years. This may be a good time to add curbs to the bridge, the improved drainage from deck top would greatly

Recommended Investigations:

No Special Investigations Recommended

Recommended Capital Works:

WP&P, B/Wall, Guide Rail

| Estimated Replacement Value: | \$1,8 | 17,000 |
|--|---------|-----------|
| Estimated replacement value is based on replacen | nent in | kind |
| Estimated Remaining Service Life: | 67 Y | 'ears |
| Rehabilitation Year and Estimated Cost: | 2024 | \$322,000 |



| AADT: | 960 | Latitude: | 45.14823400 |
|--------|-------------|--------------|--------------|
| Lanes: | 2 | Longitude: | -74.77434500 |
| Skew: | 20 ° | Orientation: | E-W |
| Speed: | 80 km/h | Road Width: | 8.8 m |
| Trucks | | Load Posting | No Posting |



BCI = Bridge Condition Index MTO Calculation

PD = Parabolic Depreciation % retained value

SLD = Straight Line Depreciation % retained value

DD = Defects and Damage % loss of retained value

Keystone Bridge Management Corp. 31-187

| RC Toppi | ing Slab (1) | Defects 1.0% Minor Scrapes/Gouging | | |
|-------------------|-------------------|--|--|--|
| Deck Sur | face | Damage 0.1% Minor Cracking | | |
| Length: Width: | 13.3 m 10.1 m | Maintenance None Capital Rec. None | | |
| Height: | | Covered with a skim coat of asphalt, shoulder areas of deck are exposed. Chain drag in 2019 found no delaminations. Crack was noted in the NW corner. Tining is still present on the exposed deck areas. Some plow damage at deck ends. | | |
| Soffit (1) | | Defects 0.0% | | |
| Deck Sof | fit | Damage 1.0% Minor Delamination, Minor Cracking | | |
| Length: | 12 m | Maintenance None | | |
| Width: | 10.1 m | Capital Rec. None | | |
| Height: | | Overall good condition. Some minor cracking in soffit. Minor delaminated areas along the south edge. Lack of proper drainage control from the deck is causing damage at south edge. | | |
| Asphalt V | Near Surf (1) | Defects 0.0% | | |
| Wear Sur | rface | Damage 0.2% Minor Cracking | | |
| Length: | 13.3 m | Maintenance None | | |
| Width: | 6.5 m | Capital Rec. None | | |
| Height: | | Satisfactory condition. Skim coat on deck. | | |
| Steel Bea | am on Wood Post (| Defects 0.0% | | |
| Guide Ra | nil | Damage 15.0% Moderate Impact, Moderate Decay | | |
| Length: | 20 m | Maintenance None | | |
| Width: | | Capital Rec. Replace in 2 years Perf Def: Weakened | | |
| Height: | 0.7 m | Many vehicle strikes. Four posts on the north side of bridge are damaged from vehicle impact, post anchors weakened from collision. Buried end treatments at all ends. Timber posts have varying degree of decay. Guide rail is due for renewal. | | |
| RC Abutr | ment Wall (2) | Defects 5.0% Minor Leaching Cracks, Minor Honeycomb, Minor Graffiti | | |
| Abutmen | nt Stem | Damage 1.0% Minor Cracking | | |
| Length: | | Maintenance None | | |
| Width: | 10.1 m | Capital Rec. None | | |
| Height: | 2.3 m | Overall good condition. All corners were wet in 2021 from water runoff abutment wall corners will be damaged in the future due to this problem. Some vertical moderate cracks noted. Minor leaching cracks, mostly around the wall drains. Small pocket of honeycomb on south wall. North wall is stained on lower portion. Graffiti on north wall. Founded on bedrock. | | |

| RC Wing Walls (4) | Defects 0.1% Minor Leaching/Seepage |
|-------------------|---|
| Wing Walls | Damage 0.0% |
| Length: 6 m | Maintenance None |
| Width: | Capital Rec. None |
| Height: 1.4 m | Overall good condition. Some leaching at exterior knee joints. Difficult to view due to the thick brush vegetation at bridge corners. |
| Rip Rap (4) | Defects 0.0% |
| Channel Armour | Damage 0.0% |
| | Maintenance None |
| | Capital Rec. None |
| | No concerns. |
| Water Channel (1) | Defects 0.0% |
| Channel | |
| Channer | |
| | Capital Rec. None |
| | Good condition Flat bedrock channel bottom |
| | |
| Embankment (4) | Defects 0.0% |
| Embankment | Damage 0.0% |
| | Maintenance Remove Brush/Trees |
| | Capital Rec. None |
| | Good condition. Wild parsnip is present. Some tree growth near wing walls. Trees should be cleared at bridge corners. |
| Delineator (4) | Defects 0.0% |
| Signs | Damage 0.0% |
| Length: | Maintenance None |
| Width: | Capital Rec. None |
| Height: | Delineators at the ends of guide rail. |

Capital Needs Cost Estimate Break-Down

| Item | Req'd | Units | Quantity | Unit Price \$ | Estimated Cost |
|--------------------------|--------------|-------|----------|---------------|----------------|
| Misc Concrete Repairs | × | m² | 0.0 | \$800 | \$0 |
| Deck Concrete Overlay | × | m² | 134.3 | \$400 | \$0 |
| Deck Replacement | × | m² | 134.3 | \$2,500 | \$0 |
| Barrier Wall Replacement | \checkmark | m | 37.3 | \$3,000 | \$151,800 |
| Expansion Joint | × | m | 20.2 | \$5,500 | \$0 |
| Waterproof & Pave | \checkmark | m² | 134.3 | \$220 | \$29,553 |
| Bearing Replacement | × | Count | 0.0 | \$5,000 | \$0 |
| Approach Guide Rail | \checkmark | m | 80.0 | \$250 | \$40,000 |

Other Work

| Structural Items Subtotal | \$221,000 |
|--|-----------|
| Mobilization General Sitework | \$22,000 |
| Estimated Traffic Management & Civil Items | \$25,000 |
| Contract Admin & Contingencies 20% | \$54,000 |
| Total Rehabilitation Cost Estimate | \$322.000 |

Recommended Capital Work Summary

Recommended Capital Year 2024

WP&P, B/Wall, Guide Rail

Inspection Comments

Bridge is in good condition. Lack of proper drainage control on bridge is causing damage to soffit on the south side and will eventually damage abutment walls if left in current condition. The guide rail has many areas of collision damage due to the curved road alignment at the bridge. Buried end treatments do not meet the current standards. Due to the damage and performance deficiencies recommend the guide rail be replaced within two years. This may be a good time to add curbs to the bridge, the improved drainage from deck top would greatly benefit this bridge and prevent damage from water runoff.









East deck end



North channel upstream



East approach



North railing



South channel downstream





Asphalt on deck (typical)



East abutment



Soffit



Damage at west deck end



West abutment



Delamination in south soffit







Spalling in south soffit



Horizontal and vertical cracking in west abutment



South curb spall

Leaching east abutment



Small soffit crack



SW wing wall (typical)





Bridge Inspection Report

Race Track Bridge

Comments:

replacement.

Planning Study

Recommended Investigations:

Recommended Capital Works:

Replace Deck, B/Wall

| Road Name: | Barlow Road |
|------------------|--|
| Site ID: | 31-208 |
| Structure Type: | Slab on Steel Girder |
| Owner: | Township South Stormont |
| Built: | 1985 |
| Length: | 5.6 m |
| Width: | 5.12 m |
| Spans: | 1 |
| Spans Arrange: | 5.1 |
| Feature Under: | Water |
| Crossing: | South Raisin River |
| Location: | 1km East of County Rd 33. Lot 17, Concession 4. |
| Inspection Date: | June-29-21 |
| Inspector: | Steve Reid, C.E.T. |
| Assistant: | Kyle Davis, Eng Student |

The timber deck is showing moderate wear in the

entire structure with new culvert instead of deck

wheel paths and the bridge barrier system is in need of replacement. Bridge is low priority but barrier system is due for replacement. Consider replacing

| AADT: | N/A | Latitude: | 45.05443800 | |
|--------|------------|--------------------------|--------------|--|
| Lanes: | 1 | Longitude: | -74.80034900 | |
| Skew: | 0 ° | Orientation: | N-S | |
| Speed: | 50 km/h | Road Width: | 4.84 m | |
| Trucks | | Load Posting: No Posting | | |



BCI = Bridge Condition Index MTO Calculation

PD = Parabolic Depreciation % retained value

SLD = Straight Line Depreciation % retained value

DD = Defects and Damage % loss of retained value

| Estimated Replacement Value: | \$21 1 | 1,000 |
|--|---------------|-----------|
| Estimated replacement value is based on replacen | ient in | kind |
| Estimated Remaining Service Life: | 34 Y | 'ears |
| Rehabilitation Year and Estimated Cost: | 2024 | \$240,000 |

Keystone Bridge Management Corp. 31-208

Race Track Bridge
| Soffit (1) | | Defects 0.0% | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| Deck Soffit | | Damage 0.0% | | | |
| Length: | 5.62 m | Maintenance None | | | |
| Width: | 5.12 m | Capital Rec. None | | | |
| Height: | | Underside of timber is in satisfactory condition. | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Timber V | Vear Surface (1) | Defects 0.0% | | | |
| Wear Su | rface | Damage 4.0% Moderate Wear, Minor Gouging | | | |
| Length: | 5.62 m | Maintenance None | | | |
| Width: | 5.12 m | Capital Rec. None | | | |
| Height: | | <i>Partially covered with gravel, west side is exposed (2019). Deck top fully exposed in 2021. Moderate wear in the wheel paths. Top of exposed timbers have minor damage from winter plow.</i> | | | |
| Armouri | ng (2) | Defects 0.0% | | | |
| Expansio | on Joints | Damage 0.0% | | | |
| Length: | 5.12 m | Maintenance None Not Inspected | | | |
| Width: | | Capital Rec. None | | | |
| Height: | | No expansion joints, just angle iron protection on concrete end dams. No concerns. | | | |
| Timber Curb (2) | | Defects 10.0% Moderate Bowed/Warped | | | |
| Timber C | Surb (2) | Defects 10.0% Moderate Bowed/Warped | | | |
| Timber C <i>Curbs</i> | Surb (2) | Defects 10.0% Moderate Bowed/Warped Damage 15.0% Moderate Breakage, Moderate Impact | | | |
| Timber C Curbs Length: | 5.62 m | Defects 10.0% Moderate Bowed/Warped Damage 15.0% Moderate Breakage, Moderate Impact Maintenance None | | | |
| Timber C Curbs Length: Width: | 5.62 m 0.14 m | Defects 10.0% Moderate Bowed/Warped Damage 15.0% Moderate Breakage, Moderate Impact Maintenance None Capital Rec. Replace in 1 year | | | |
| Timber C Curbs Length: Width: Height: | 5.62 m 0.14 m 0.16 m | Defects 10.0% Moderate Bowed/Warped Damage 15.0% Moderate Breakage, Moderate Impact Maintenance None Capital Rec. Replace in 1 year Curb on east side is mostly missing. West side is comprised of 3 2x8 boards. Boards have pulled up at north end. Similar conditions in 2021. | | | |
| Timber C Curbs Length: Width: Height: Steel Pos | 5.62 m 0.14 m 0.16 m st & Guide Rail (4) | Defects 10.0% Moderate Bowed/Warped Damage 15.0% Moderate Breakage, Moderate Impact Maintenance None Capital Rec. Replace in 1 year Curb on east side is mostly missing. West side is comprised of 3 2x8 boards. Boards have pulled up at north end. Similar conditions in 2021. Defects 0.0% | | | |
| Timber C Curbs Length: Width: Height: Steel Pos Approac | 5.62 m 0.14 m 0.16 m st & Guide Rail (4) h Barrier | Defects 10.0% Moderate Bowed/Warped Damage 15.0% Moderate Breakage, Moderate Impact Maintenance None Capital Rec. Replace in 1 year Curb on east side is mostly missing. West side is comprised of 3 2x8 boards. Boards have pulled up at north end. Similar conditions in 2021. Defects 0.0% Damage 35.0% Moderate Impact | | | |
| Timber C Curbs Length: Width: Height: Steel Pos Approac Length: | 5.62 m 0.14 m 0.16 m St & Guide Rail (4) h Barrier 15.6 m | Defects 10.0% Moderate Bowed/Warped Damage 15.0% Moderate Breakage, Moderate Impact Maintenance None Capital Rec. Replace in 1 year Curb on east side is mostly missing. West side is comprised of 3 2x8 boards. Boards have pulled up at north end. Similar conditions in 2021. Defects 0.0% Damage 35.0% Moderate Impact Maintenance None | | | |
| Timber C Curbs Length: Width: Height: Steel Pos Approac Length: Width: | 5.62 m 0.14 m 0.16 m 5.62 m 5.62 m 0.16 m 15.6 m | Defects10.0%Moderate Bowed/WarpedDamage15.0%Moderate Breakage, Moderate ImpactMaintenance NoneCapital Rec. Replace in 1 yearCurb on east side is mostly missing. West side is comprised of 3 2x8 boards. Boards have pulled up at north end. Similar conditions in 2021.Defects0.0%Damage35.0%Maintenance NoneModerate ImpactCapital Rec. Replace in 1 yearPerf Def: Weakened | | | |
| Timber C Curbs Length: Width: Height: Steel Pos Approac Length: Width: Height: | 5.62 m 0.14 m 0.16 m 5t & Guide Rail (4) h Barrier 15.6 m 0.72 m | Defects 10.0% Moderate Bowed/Warped Damage 15.0% Moderate Breakage, Moderate Impact Maintenance None Capital Rec. Replace in 1 year Curb on east side is mostly missing. West side is comprised of 3 2x8 boards. Boards have pulled up at north end. Similar conditions in 2021. Defects 0.0% Damage 35.0% Moderate Impact Maintenance None Capital Rec. Replace in 1 year Perf Def: Weakened Many impact strikes on flex beam. Post spacing not up to standard. 15.2 m (N) + 16.0 m (S). | | | |
| Timber C Curbs Length: Width: Height: Steel Pos Approac Length: Width: Height: | 5.62 m 0.14 m 0.16 m st & Guide Rail (4) h Barrier 15.6 m 0.72 m am on Wood Post (| Defects10.0%Moderate Bowed/WarpedDamage15.0%Moderate Breakage, Moderate ImpactMaintenance NoneCapital Rec. Replace in 1 yearCurb on east side is mostly missing. West side is comprised of 3 2x8 boards. Boards have pulled up at north end. Similar conditions in 2021.Defects0.0%Damage35.0%Moderate ImpactMaintenance NoneCapital Rec. Replace in 1 yearPerf Def: WeakenedMany impact strikes on flex beam. Post spacing not up to standard.15.2 m (N) + 16.0 m (S).Defects0.0% | | | |
| Timber C Curbs Length: Width: Height: Steel Pos Approac Length: Width: Height: Steel Bea Guide Ra | 5.62 m 0.14 m 0.16 m 5t & Guide Rail (4) h Barrier 15.6 m 0.72 m am on Wood Post (ail | Defects10.0%Moderate Bowed/WarpedDamage15.0%Moderate Breakage, Moderate ImpactMaintenance NoneCapital Rec. Replace in 1 yearCurb on east side is mostly missing. West side is comprised of 3 2x8 boards. Boards have pulled up at north end. Similar conditions in 2021.Defects0.0%Damage35.0%Moderate ImpactMaintenance NoneCapital Rec. Replace in 1 yearPerf Def: WeakenedMany impact strikes on flex beam. Post spacing not up to standard. 15.2 m (N) + 16.0 m (S).Defects0.0%Damage25.0%Moderate Impact, Major Decay | | | |
| Timber C Curbs Length: Width: Height: Steel Pos Approac Length: Width: Height: Steel Bea Guide Ra Length: | 5.62 m 0.14 m 0.16 m 5.6 m 5.6 m 0.72 m am on Wood Post (ail 5.6 m | Defects 10.0% Moderate Bowed/Warped Damage 15.0% Moderate Breakage, Moderate Impact Maintenance None Capital Rec. Replace in 1 year Curb on east side is mostly missing. West side is comprised of 3 2x8 boards. Boards have pulled up at north end. Similar conditions in 2021. Defects 0.0% Damage 35.0% Moderate Impact Maintenance None Capital Rec. Replace in 1 year Perf Def: Weakened Many impact strikes on flex beam. Post spacing not up to standard. 15.2 m (N) + 16.0 m (S). Defects 0.0% Damage 25.0% Moderate Impact, Major Decay Maintenance None | | | |
| Timber C Curbs Length: Width: Height: Steel Pos Approac Length: Width: Height: Steel Bes Guide Ra Length: Width: | 5.62 m 0.14 m 0.16 m 5.6 m 5.6 m 0.72 m 5.6 m | Defects 10.0% Moderate Bowed/Warped Damage 15.0% Moderate Breakage, Moderate Impact Maintenance None Capital Rec. Replace in 1 year Curb on east side is mostly missing. West side is comprised of 3 2x8 boards. Boards have pulled up at north end. Similar conditions in 2021. Defects 0.0% Damage 35.0% Moderate Impact Maintenance None Capital Rec. Replace in 1 year Perf Def: Weakened Many impact strikes on flex beam. Post spacing not up to standard. 15.2 m (N) + 16.0 m (S). Defects 0.0% Damage 25.0% Moderate Impact, Major Decay Maintenance None Capital Rec. Replace in 1 year | | | |



| Steel-Fabricated (7) | Defects 5.0% Minor Tarnishing | | | | |
|----------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Girders | Damage 0.0% | | | | |
| Length: 5.1 m | Maintenance None | | | | |
| Width: 0.205 m | Capital Rec. None | | | | |
| Height: 0.31 m | Good condition, galvanized coating mostly intact. Girder ends are embedded in concrete abutment walls. | | | | |
| RC Abutment Wall (2) | Defects 5.0% Moderate AAR Cracking, Minor Honeycomb, Minor Erosion | | | | |
| Abutment Stem | Damage 0.0% | | | | |
| Length: | Maintenance None | | | | |
| Width: 6.7 m | Capital Rec. None | | | | |
| Height: 1.94 m | Minor honeycomb in the NW face, small pocket of erosion in the SE face. Exterior edges have AAR open cracking. | | | | |
| RC Wing Walls (4) | Defects 0.0% | | | | |
| Wing Walls | Damage 0.0% | | | | |
| Length: 1.55 m | Maintenance None | | | | |
| Width: | Capital Rec. None | | | | |
| Height: 1.1 m | Satisfactory condition. | | | | |
| Rip Rap (1) | Defects 0.0% | | | | |
| Slope Protection | Damage 0.0% | | | | |
| Length: | Maintenance None | | | | |
| Width: | Capital Rec. None | | | | |
| Height: | South end corners have slid down into channel. | | | | |
| Water Channel (1) | Defects 0.0% | | | | |
| Channel | Damage 5.0% Minor Bank/Channel Scour | | | | |
| | Maintenance None | | | | |
| | Capital Rec. None | | | | |
| | Accumulation of stones at the west upstream side of bridge. Minor scour under the bridge. Water moving west to east. | | | | |
| Embankment (4) | Defects 5.0% Minor Erosion | | | | |
| Embankment | Damage 0.0% | | | | |
| | Maintenance None | | | | |
| | Capital Rec. None | | | | |
| | Embankments at the corners of the bridge are slipping down into channel. | | | | |



Capital Needs Cost Estimate Break-Down

| Item | Req'd | Units | Quantity | Unit Price \$ | Estimated Cost |
|--------------------------|--------------|-------|----------|---------------|----------------|
| Misc Concrete Repairs | × | m² | 0.0 | \$800 | \$0 |
| Deck Concrete Overlay | × | m² | 28.7 | \$400 | \$0 |
| Deck Replacement | \checkmark | m² | 28.7 | \$2,500 | \$71,680 |
| Barrier Wall Replacement | \checkmark | m | 29.6 | \$3,000 | \$105,600 |
| Expansion Joint | × | m | 10.2 | \$5,500 | \$0 |
| Waterproof & Pave | × | m² | 28.7 | \$220 | \$0 |
| Bearing Replacement | × | Count | 14.0 | \$5,000 | \$0 |
| Approach Guide Rail | x | m | 80.0 | \$250 | \$0 |

Other Work

\$0

| Structural Items Subtotal | \$177,000 |
|--|-----------|
| Mobilization General Sitework | \$18,000 |
| Estimated Traffic Management & Civil Items | \$5,000 |
| Contract Admin & Contingencies 20% | \$40,000 |
| Total Rehabilitation Cost Estimate | \$240,000 |

Recommended Capital Work Summary

Recommended Capital Year 2024

Replace Deck, B/Wall

Inspection Comments

The timber deck is showing moderate wear in the wheel paths and the bridge barrier system is in need of replacement. Bridge is low priority but barrier system is due for replacement. Consider replacing entire structure with new culvert instead of deck replacement.







East elevation



East guide rail with missing curb



Image 880

Wheel path wearing in deck

West guide rail and curb

South approach



Timber deck surface (typical)



Race Track Bridge



Curb disconnected in NW



Erosion in SE embankment



South abutment



SE abutment AAR



North abutment



Soffit and girders





Girder 3 bottom



West channel



Guide rail damage SE



West elevation



East channel





Bridge Inspection Report

Shaver Bridge

| Road Name: | Shaver Road |
|------------------|--|
| Site ID: | 31-303 |
| Structure Type: | Truss-Half Through |
| Owner: | Township South Stormont |
| Built: | 1950 |
| Length: | 13.4 m |
| Width: | 5 m |
| Spans: | 1 |
| Spans Arrange: | 12.2 |
| Feature Under: | Water |
| Crossing: | Hoople Creek |
| Location: | 0.8km N of Colonial Rd, Lot 12 & 13, Concession 11 |
| Inspection Date: | July-30-21 |
| Inspector: | Steve Reid, C.E.T. |
| Assistant: | Kyle Davis, Eng Student |

Comments:

Construction year was estimated at 1950. However abutments are thought to be 1920's construction. This bridge is unsafe due to the loss of support under the south bearings. Bridge bearing in SE corner is of major concern, loss of concrete in SE corner has left bearing unstable, SW similar but not quite as bad. Condition of this bridge was brought to owners attention 2019, immediate repairs are needed. Load limit signs missing at 2021 site visit.

Recommended Investigations:

No Special Investigations Recommended

Recommended Capital Works:

Abut Repairs

| Estimated Replacement Value: | \$968 , | 000 |
|---|----------------|----------|
| Estimated replacement value is based on replace | nent in l | kind |
| Estimated Remaining Service Life: | 14 Ye | ears |
| Rehabilitation Year and Estimated Cost: | 2022 | \$24,000 |



| AADT: | 200 | Latitude: | 45.01440800 |
|--------|------------|--------------|--------------|
| Lanes: | 1 | Longitude: | -74.95646600 |
| Skew: | <i>0</i> ° | Orientation: | N-S |
| Speed: | 80 km/h | Road Width: | 4.7 m |
| Trucks | | Load Posting | 10 tonne |



BCI = Bridge Condition Index MTO Calculation

PD = Parabolic Depreciation % retained value

SLD = Straight Line Depreciation % retained value

DD = Defects and Damage % loss of retained value

Keystone Bridge Management Corp. 31-303

| Unproteo | cted BSRC Deck (1) | Defects 0.0% | | | |
|--------------|---------------------|---|--|--|--|
| Deck Surface | | Damage 0.0% | | | |
| Length: | 13.35 m | Maintenance None | | | |
| Width: | 5.1 m | Capital Rec. None | | | |
| Height: | 0.18 m | Good condition. Some granular materials from approaches have migrated onto deck. | | | |
| Soffit (1) | | Defects 0.5% Minor Leaching/Seepage | | | |
| Deck So | ffit | Damage 0.0% | | | |
| Length: | 13.35 m | Maintenance None | | | |
| Width: | 5.04 m | Capital Rec. None | | | |
| Height: | 0.175 m | Satisfactory condition. | | | |
| Strip Sea | al (2) | Defects 0.0% | | | |
| Expansio | on Joints | Damage 10.0% Moderate Torn/Perforated Seal | | | |
| Length: | 5 m | Maintenance None | | | |
| Width: | | Capital Rec. None | | | |
| Height: | | Joints are over compressed, seals are damaged. | | | |
| Conc Cu | rh (2) | Defects 0.2% Minor Leaching Cracks | | | |
| Curbs | 10 (2) | | | | |
| Longth | 12.2E m | | | | |
| Lengui. | 13.33 III | Capital Rec. None | | | |
| | 0.15 m | Good condition. Some minor leaching cracks. | | | |
| Height: | 0.15 m | | | | |
| Steel Bea | am on Steel Post (2 | Defects 0.0% | | | |
| Guide Ra | ail | Damage 0.0% | | | |
| Length: | 31 m | Maintenance None | | | |
| Width: | | Capital Rec. None | | | |
| Height: | 0.72 m | Guide rail is overgrown with vegetation. Guide rail on bridge is attached to new verticals, supports are attached to the exterior deck curb fascia & floor beams. | | | |
| Top Cho | rd (2) | Defects 0.0% | | | |
| Top Cho | rd | Damage 0.0% | | | |
| Length: | 9.2 m | Maintenance None | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Width: | 0.08 m | Capital Rec. None | | | |



| Bottom Chord (2) | | Defects 0.0% |
|--|--|---|
| Bottom Chord | | Damage 2.0% Moderate Deformation |
| Length: | 13.3 m | Maintenance None |
| Width: | 0.08 m | Capital Rec. None |
| Height: | 0.08 m | <i>Damaged in several locations. Built up angle sections. No noticed change since 2019.</i> |
| Diagonal | /Post/Hangar (12) | Defects 0.0% |
| Diagona | ls/Hangars | Damage 0.0% |
| Length: | 3.1 m | Maintenance None |
| Width: | 0.07 m | Capital Rec. None |
| Height: | 0.07 m | Remain in satisfactory condition. |
| Stringers | s (36) | Defects 0.0% |
| Steel Str | inger | Damage 0.0% |
| Length: | 6.7 m | Maintenance None |
| Width: | 0.04 m | Capital Rec. None |
| Height: | 0.25 m | Remain in satisfactory condition. |
| | | |
| Bailey Bo | ottom Bracing (6) | Defects 0.0% |
| Bailey Bo Bracing | ottom Bracing (6) | Defects 0.0% Damage 2.0% Moderate Deformation |
| Bailey Bo Bracing Length: | ottom Bracing (6) 6.7 m | Defects 0.0% Damage 2.0% Moderate Deformation Maintenance None |
| Bailey Bo Bracing Length: Width: | ottom Bracing (6) 6.7 m 0.06 m | Defects 0.0% Damage 2.0% Moderate Deformation Maintenance None Capital Rec. None |
| Bailey Bo Bracing Length: Width: Height: | 00000000000000000000000000000000000000 | Defects 0.0% Damage 2.0% Moderate Deformation Maintenance None Capital Rec. None Several bent members. |
| Bailey Bo Bracing Length: Width: Height: Steel Flo | 6.7 m 0.06 m 0.08 m or Beam (6) | Defects 0.0% Damage 2.0% Moderate Deformation Maintenance None Capital Rec. None Several bent members. Defects 0.0% |
| Bailey Ba Bracing Length: Width: Height: Steel Flo Connect | 6.7 m 0.06 m 0.08 m or Beam (6) ions | Defects 0.0% Damage 2.0% Moderate Deformation Maintenance None Capital Rec. None Several bent members. Defects 0.0% Damage 0.0% |
| Bailey Be Bracing Length: Width: Height: Steel Flo Connect Length: | 0.06 m 0.08 m 0.08 m | Defects 0.0% Damage 2.0% Moderate Deformation Maintenance None Capital Rec. None Several bent members. Defects 0.0% Damage 0.0% Maintenance None |
| Bailey Bo Bracing Length: Width: Height: Steel Flo Connect Length: Width: | 0.06 m 0.08 m 0.08 m | Defects 0.0% Damage 2.0% Moderate Deformation Maintenance None Capital Rec. None Several bent members. Defects 0.0% Damage 0.0% Maintenance None Capital Rec. None |
| Bailey Be Bracing Length: Width: Height: Steel Flo Connect Length: Width: Height: | 6.7 m 0.06 m 0.08 m or Beam (6) ions | Defects 0.0% Damage 2.0% Moderate Deformation Maintenance None Capital Rec. None Several bent members. Defects 0.0% Damage 0.0% Maintenance None Capital Rec. None Satisfactory condition. Limited view of several connections due to high water at bridge. |
| Bailey Bo Bracing Length: Width: Height: Steel Flo Connect Length: Width: Height: | ottom Bracing (6) 6.7 m 0.06 m 0.08 m or Beam (6) ions | Defects 0.0% Damage 2.0% Moderate Deformation Maintenance None Capital Rec. None Several bent members. Defects 0.0% Damage 0.0% Maintenance None Capital Rec. None Satisfactory condition. Limited view of several connections due to high water at bridge. |
| Bailey Be Bracing Length: Width: Height: Steel Flo Connect Length: Width: Height: Steel Flo Floor Be | ottom Bracing (6) 6.7 m 0.06 m 0.08 m or Beam (6) ions or Beam (2) ams | Defects 0.0% Damage 2.0% Moderate Deformation Maintenance None Capital Rec. None Several bent members. Defects 0.0% Damage 0.0% Maintenance None Capital Rec. None Satisfactory condition. Limited view of several connections due to high water at bridge. Defects 0.0% Damage 0.0% |
| Bailey Bo Bracing Length: Width: Height: Steel Flo Connect Length: Width: Height: Steel Flo Floor Be Length: | ottom Bracing (6) 6.7 m 0.06 m 0.08 m or Beam (6) ions or Beam (2) ams 5.23 m | Defects 0.0% Damage 2.0% Moderate Deformation Maintenance None Capital Rec. None Several bent members. Defects 0.0% Damage 0.0% Maintenance None Capital Rec. None Satisfactory condition. Limited view of several connections due to high water at bridge. Defects 0.0% Damage 0.0% Maintenance None |
| Bailey Be Bracing Length: Width: Height: Steel Flo Connect Length: Width: Height: Steel Flo Floor Be Length: Width: | ottom Bracing (6) 6.7 m 0.06 m 0.08 m or Beam (6) ions or Beam (2) ams 5.23 m 0.082 m | Defects 0.0% Damage 2.0% Moderate Deformation Maintenance None Capital Rec. None Several bent members. Defects 0.0% Damage 0.0% Maintenance None Capital Rec. None Satisfactory condition. Limited view of several connections due to high water at bridge. Defects 0.0% Damage 0.0% Maintenance None Capital Rec. None |

| RC Abutment Wall (2) | Defects 50.0% Major Scaling |
|-------------------------|--|
| Abutment Stem | Damage 40.0% Critical Disintegration, Major Disintegration |
| Length: | Maintenance Re & Re Concrete |
| Width: 5.04 m | Capital Rec. None |
| Height: <i>1.2 m</i> | South abutment has major disintegration, north abutment minor disintegration. Loss of support at SE corner under bearing is very concerning. |
| RC Ballast Wall (2) | Defects 0.0% |
| Ballast Wall | Damage 5.0% Moderate Disintegration |
| Length: | Maintenance None |
| Width: 5.04 m | Capital Rec. None |
| Height: 0.67 m | Disintegration noted at south end. |
| PC Wing Walls (4) | |
| Wing Walls | |
| | Maintananaa Nana |
| | Capital Rec. None |
| | Satisfactory condition |
| Height: 0.43 m | Catisfactory condition. |
| Steel Sliding Plate (4) | Defects 0.0% |
| Abutment Bearings | Damage 50.0% Critical Section Loss |
| Length: | Maintenance Remove debris |
| Width: | Capital Rec. None Perf Def: Uneven Bearing |
| Height: | SE bearing has lost approximately 50% of bearing due to disintegration of the old south abutment. Soil & vegetation cover all bearings. |
| Water Channel (1) | Defects 0.0% |
| Channel | Damage 0.0% |
| | Maintenance None |
| | Capital Rec. None Perf Def: Lacking Freeboard |
| | <i>Open channel, debris on floor members indicate water levels can be high at this location. Channel clear in 2021.</i> |
| Embankment (2) | Defects 0.0% |
| Embankment | Damage 0.0% |
| | Maintenance Remove Brush/Trees |
| | Capital Rec. None |
| | Thick vegetation at bridge wing walls. |



| Load Posting (4) | Defects 0.0% |
|------------------|---|
| Signs | Damage 100.0% Critical Missing |
| Length: | Maintenance Replace Sign |
| Width: | Capital Rec. None Perf Def: Missing |
| Height: | Signs missing in 2021. Bridge was posted with 10 tonne limit. Signs located at end of south guide rail. Road is dead end so no signs on north side. |
| Delineator (4) | Defects 70.0% Moderate Obstructed |
| Signs | Damage 0.0% |
| Length: | Maintenance None |
| Width: | Capital Rec. None Perf Def: Obscure |
| Height: | Four delineators at ends of guide rail. Signs at north end are engulfed with vegetation. |

Capital Needs Cost Estimate Break-Down

| Item | Req'd | Units | Quantity | Unit Price \$ | Estimated Cost |
|--------------------------|-------|-------|----------|---------------|----------------|
| Misc Concrete Repairs | × | m² | 0.0 | \$800 | \$0 |
| Deck Concrete Overlay | × | m² | 67.0 | \$400 | \$0 |
| Deck Replacement | × | m² | 67.0 | \$2,500 | \$0 |
| Barrier Wall Replacement | × | m | 37.4 | \$3,000 | \$0 |
| Expansion Joint | × | m | 10.0 | \$5,500 | \$0 |
| Waterproof & Pave | × | m² | 67.0 | \$220 | \$0 |
| Bearing Replacement | × | Count | 0.0 | \$5,000 | \$0 |
| Approach Guide Rail | × | m | 80.0 | \$250 | \$0 |

Other Work Abut Repairs

\$10,000

| Structural Items Subtotal | \$10,000 |
|--|----------|
| Mobilization General Sitework | \$10,000 |
| Estimated Traffic Management & Civil Items | \$0 |
| Contract Admin & Contingencies 20% | \$4,000 |
| Total Rehabilitation Cost Estimate | \$24,000 |

Recommended Capital Work Summary

Recommended Capital Year 2022

Abut Repairs

Inspection Comments

Construction year was estimated at 1950. However abutments are thought to be 1920's construction. This bridge is unsafe due to the loss of support under the south bearings. Bridge bearing in SE corner is of major concern, loss of concrete in SE corner has left bearing unstable, SW similar but not quite as bad. Condition of this bridge was brought to owners attention 2019, immediate repairs are needed. Load limit signs missing at 2021 site visit.





East elevation



East channel



Deck surface



South approach







East truss







West truss



North expansion joint





SW bearing disintegrating abutment



SW wing wall



West elevation



Keystone Bridge Management Corp. 31-303



SE bearing abutment disintegration



South abutment



Floor system typical



SE bearing



North abutment



Stringers south end







Image 372



NW bearing missing bolt



Bent lateral brace north abutment



Potholes gravel approach north



Stringers at north end



Deformed bottom chord in the SW



Bridge Inspection Report

Johnston Bridge

| Road Name: | Morgan Road |
|-----------------|--------------------------|
| Site ID: | 31-A21 |
| Structure Type: | Precast 3 Sided RF |
| Owner: | Township South Stormont |
| Built: | 2007 |
| Length: | 11.6 m |
| Width: | 8.5 m |
| Spans: | 1 |
| Spans Arrange: | 10.7 |
| Feature Under: | Water |
| Crossing: | Hoople Creek |
| Location: | 2 km North of Dafoe Road |
| | |

| Inspection Date: | July-09-21 |
|------------------|-------------------------|
| Inspector: | Steve Reid, C.E.T. |
| Assistant: | Kyle Davis, Eng Student |

Comments:

Nice small bridge in very good condition. Deck is polished and has wide longitudinal cracks, no delaminations at this time. Topping slab needs to be made stiffer to control reflection cracking from girder joints. Consider overlay, waterproofing and paving subject to capacity of bridge.

Recommended Investigations:

Structural Evaluation

Recommended Capital Works: *O'Lay, WP&P*

| Estimated Replacement Value: | \$550, | 000 |
|--|-----------|-----------|
| Estimated replacement value is based on replacen | nent in l | kind |
| Estimated Remaining Service Life: | 86 Ye | ears |
| Rehabilitation Year and Estimated Cost: | 2026 | \$121,000 |

| AADT: | N/A | Latitude: | 45.01670300 |
|--------|------------|--------------|--------------|
| Lanes: | 2 | Longitude: | -75.01049200 |
| Skew: | <i>0</i> ° | Orientation: | N-S |
| Speed: | 50 km/h | Road Width: | 6 m |
| Trucks | | Load Posting | : No Posting |



BCI = Bridge Condition Index MTO Calculation

PD = Parabolic Depreciation % retained value

SLD = Straight Line Depreciation % retained value

DD = Defects and Damage % loss of retained value

Keystone Bridge Management Corp. 31-A21

Johnston Bridge

| RC Topping Slab (1) | Defects 50.0% Moderate Polished |
|-----------------------------|---|
| Deck Surface | Damage 1.0% Moderate Cracking |
| Length: 11.58 m | Maintenance None |
| Width: 8.5 m | Capital Rec. None |
| Height: | Several full length longitudinal reflection cracks noted in topping slab. Deck surface polished, tining never present. No delaminations detected. |
| Soffit (1) | Defects 2.0% Minor Leaching/Seepage, Moderate Shrinkage Cracking |
| Deck Soffit | Damage 0.0% |
| Length: 11.58 m | Maintenance None |
| Width: 8.5 m | Capital Rec. None |
| Height: | Overall good condition. East & west precast sections are leaking at precast joints. Wet areas on fascia. Transverse cracks noted in several precast sections. |
| Asphalt Wear Surf (1) | Defects 0.0% |
| Appr Wear Surface | Damage 1.0% Minor Potholing |
| Length: 6 m | Maintenance None |
| Width: 8.5 m | Capital Rec. None |
| Height: | Minor potholes in south approach. Same in 2021. |
| RC Parapet (2) | Defects 0.0% |
| Barrier | Damage 0.0% |
| Length: 25.71 m | Maintenance None |
| Width: 0.4 m | Capital Rec. None |
| Height: 0.9 m | New parapet has the old railing system attached to exterior, assumed for aesthetics. Condition of new parapet is good. |
| Steel Beam on Steel Post (4 | Defects 0.0% |
| Guide Rail | Damage 0.2% Minor Impact |
| Length: 17.75 m | Maintenance None |
| Width: | Capital Rec. None |
| Height: | <i>Guide rail connection at NW corner has minor impact damage at the connection to bridge. Eccentric loader end treatment at all ends of guide rail.</i> |
| RC Abutment Wall (2) | Defects 0.0% |
| Abutment Stem | Damage 0.0% |
| Length: | Maintenance None |
| Width: 9.31 m | Capital Rec. None |
| Height: 2.8 m | Remain in good condition. |



| RC Wing Walls (4) | Defects 0.0% |
|-----------------------|--|
| Wing Walls | Damage 0.0% |
| Length: 7 m Width: | Maintenance None Capital Rec. None |
| Height: 1.67 m | Remain in good condition. |
| Spread Footing (2) | Defects 0.0% |
| Abutment Foundation | Damage 0.0% |
| Length: 1 m | Maintenance None |
| Width: 9.4 m | Capital Rec. None |
| Height: 1.2 m | Remain in good condition. Some rock protection against footings. |
| Water Channel (1) | Defects 0.0% |
| Channel | Damage 0.0% |
| | Maintenance None |
| | Capital Rec. None |
| | No concerns. Nicely centred under bridge. |
| Embankment (4) | Defects 0.0% |
| Embankment | Damage 0.0% |
| | Maintenance None |
| | Capital Rec. None Perf Def: Toxic Weeds |
| | Good condition. Wild parsnip noted. |

Capital Needs Cost Estimate Break-Down

| Item | Req'd | Units | Quantity | Unit Price \$ | Estimated Cost |
|--------------------------|--------------|-------|----------|---------------|----------------|
| Misc Concrete Repairs | × | m² | 0.0 | \$800 | \$0 |
| Deck Concrete Overlay | \checkmark | m² | 98.6 | \$400 | \$39,440 |
| Deck Replacement | × | m² | 98.6 | \$2,500 | \$0 |
| Barrier Wall Replacement | × | m | 35.6 | \$3,000 | \$0 |
| Expansion Joint | × | m | 17.0 | \$5,500 | \$0 |
| Waterproof & Pave | \checkmark | m² | 98.6 | \$220 | \$21,692 |
| Bearing Replacement | × | Count | 0.0 | \$5,000 | \$0 |
| Approach Guide Rail | × | m | 80.0 | \$250 | \$0 |

Other Work

\$0

| Structural Items Subtotal | \$61,000 |
|--|-----------|
| Mobilization General Sitework | \$10,000 |
| Estimated Traffic Management & Civil Items | \$30,000 |
| Contract Admin & Contingencies 20% | \$20,000 |
| Total Rehabilitation Cost Estimate | \$121,000 |

Recommended Capital Year

O'Lay, WP&P

Inspection Comments

Recommended Capital Work Summary

Nice small bridge in very good condition. Deck is polished and has wide longitudinal cracks, no delaminations at this time. Topping slab needs to be made stiffer to control reflection cracking from girder joints. Consider overlay, waterproofing and paving subject to capacity of bridge.



2026





West elevation



West parapet and railing





Plaque NW

East parapet and railing

North approach



West channel



Johnston Bridge







North abutment



West joint leaking



Concrete deck surface



Soffit



South abutment



Culvert Inspection Report

North Lunenburg Road Culvert

| Road Name: | North Lunenburg Road, West |
|------------------|-----------------------------|
| Site ID: | C31-167 |
| Structure Type: | Concrete Culvert |
| Owner: | Township South Stormont |
| Built: | 2020 |
| Length: | 20 m |
| Width: | 3.6 m |
| Spans: | 1 |
| Spans Arrange: | 3.7 |
| Feature Through | Water |
| Crossing: | Raisin River |
| Location: | 500m East of County Road 14 |
| Inspection Date: | July-09-21 |

Inspector:Steve Reid, C.E.T.Assistant:Kyle Davis, Eng Student

Recommended Investigations:

Recommended Capital Works:

Estimated Replacement Value:

Estimated Remaining Service Life:

No Capital Works Recommendations

Estimated replacement value is based on replacement in kind

No Special Investigations Recommended

Comments:

Culvert is in very good condition, several minor blemishes due to installation of precast units.



| AADT: | N/A | Latitude: | 45.05002900 |
|--------|------------|--------------|--------------|
| Lanes: | 2 | Longitude: | -75.02128600 |
| Skew: | <i>0</i> ° | Orientation: | E-W |
| Speed: | 80 km/h | Road Width: | 6 m |
| Trucks | | Load Posting | : No Posting |
| Fill: | 0.5 m | H2O Depth: | 0.15 m |



BCI = Bridge Condition Index MTO Calculation

PD = Parabolic Depreciation % retained value

SLD = Straight Line Depreciation % retained value

DD = Defects and Damage % loss of retained value



Keystone Bridge Management Corp. C31-167

\$447,000

89 Years

North Lunenburg Road Culvert

| Precast F | RF Box Culvert (1) | Defects 0.0% Corrosion |
|------------|---------------------|--|
| Conduit | | Damage 0.1% Minor Cracking, Minor Spalling |
| Length: | 20 m | Maintenance None |
| Width: | 3.6 m | Capital Rec. None |
| Height: | 2.4 m | Condition good. One small crack in upper haunch NW end see pic. Several small spalls in soffit. All damage caused by installation fit-up. |
| Asphalt V | Vear Surf (1) | Defects 0.0% |
| Wear Sur | face | Damage 0.0% |
| Length: | 20 m | Maintenance None |
| Width: | 6 m | Capital Rec. None |
| Height: | | New 2020. |
| Steel Bea | um on Steel Post (2 | Defects 0.0% |
| Guide Ra | il | Damage 0.0% |
| Lenath: | 50 m | Maintenance None |
| Width: | | Capital Rec. None |
| Height: | 0.7 m | Installed in 2020. Extruder end treatments in the SW and NE. |
| Precast C | Concrete Block (4) | Defects 0.0% |
| Inlet/Outl | et Walls | Damage 0.0% |
| Length: | 2.7 m | Maintenance None |
| Width: | 0.9 m | Capital Rec. None |
| Height: | 1.5 m | Concrete blocks at culvert corners, all good. |
| Water Ch | annel (1) | Defects 0.0% |
| Conduit (| Channel | Damage 0.0% |
| | | Maintenance None Capital Rec. None |
| | | Moving well through culvert. |
| Embankn | nent (4) | Defects 0.0% |
| Embankn | nent | Damage 0.0% |
| | | Maintenance None |
| | | Capital Rec. None Perf Def: Toxic Weeds |
| | | Rip rap on the sides of culvert. Some wild parsnip noted. |



| Delineator (2) | Defects 0.0% |
|----------------|-------------------------|
| Signs | Damage 0.0% |
| Length: | Maintenance None |
| Width: | Capital Rec. None |
| Height: | Signs in the NE and SW. |





Image 359

North elevation



South channel



North channel upstream

West approach



Asphalt overtop



Crack in west wall

Keystone

Keystone Bridge Management Corp. C31-167

North Lunenburg Road Culvert

Page 72 of 132







East wall



Through from south



Soffit spall



Soffit



Leaking at joints



North Lunenburg Road Culvert



Page 74 of 132

Culvert Inspection Report

North Lunenburg Road Culvert

| Road Name: | North Lunenburg Road, West |
|-----------------|------------------------------|
| Site ID: | C31-169 |
| Structure Type: | Soil-Steel Structure |
| Owner: | Township South Stormont |
| Built: | 1974 |
| Length: | 21.9 m |
| Width: | 5.8 m |
| Spans: | 1 |
| Spans Arrange: | 1 @ 5.8 |
| Feature Through | Water |
| Crossing: | Raisin River |
| Location: | 1.2km West of County Road 12 |
| | |

Inspection Date:July-27-21Inspector:Steve Reid, C.E.T.Assistant:Kyle Davis, Eng Student

Comments:

This culvert is in satisfactory condition with possibly 4 to 8 years of remaining service life. Culvert is over-sized. Little change in condition recorded in 2021.

Recommended Investigations:

No Special Investigations Recommended

Recommended Capital Works:

New Conc Culvert

| Estimated Replacement Value: | \$536, | 000 |
|---|-------------|-----------|
| Estimated replacement value is based on repla | cement in l | kind |
| Estimated Remaining Service Life: | 4 Ye | ars |
| Year of Replacement and Cost: | 2025 | \$657,000 |

Keystone Bridge Management Corp.



| AADT: | N/A | Latitude: | 45.06463600 |
|--------|------------|--------------|--------------|
| Lanes: | 2 | Longitude: | -74.98129800 |
| Skew: | <i>0</i> ° | Orientation: | N-S |
| Speed: | 80 km/h | Road Width: | 6.7 m |
| Trucks | | Load Posting | : No Posting |
| Fill: | 0.3 m | H2O Depth: | 0.6 m |



BCI = Bridge Condition Index MTO Calculation

PD = Parabolic Depreciation % retained value

SLD = Straight Line Depreciation % retained value

DD = Defects and Damage % loss of retained value

North Lunenburg Road Culvert

C31-169

Page 75 of 132

| Circular CS Pipe (1) | Defects 40.0% Minor Corrosion, Moderate Corrosion |
|--------------------------------|---|
| Conduit | Damage 5.0% Minor Section Loss, Minor Deformation/Bulging |
| Length: 21.9 m Width: 5.8 m | Maintenance None Capital Rec. None |
| Height: 3.7 m | Corroded with full loss of galvanizing in bottom 0.8m of culvert. Upper part of culvert is in generally good condition, with good shape. Settlement of about 0.3m from middle to ends. Invert slightly low compared to downstream channel. |
| Surface Treatment (1) | Defects 0.0% |
| Wear Surface | Damage 0.0% |
| Length: 4 m | Maintenance None |
| Width: 6.7 m | Capital Rec. None |
| Height: | Satisfactory condition. Shallow cover over culvert. |
| Water Channel (1) | Defects 0.0% |
| Conduit Channel | Damage 0.0% |
| | Maintenance None |
| | Capital Rec. None |
| | Overgrown both upstream & downstream, stagnant inside barrel. |
| Embankment (1) | Defects 0.0% |
| Embankment | Damage 0.0% |
| | Maintenance Remove Brush/Trees Capital Rec. None Perf Def: Toxic Weeds |
| | Significant tree growth. Stable slopes. Wild parsnip. No delineators or guide rail at this site. |

Capital Needs Cost Estimate Break-Down

| Cost of Asphalt Removal: | \$6,800 | Cost of Waterproofing: | \$31,800 |
|--|-----------|------------------------|----------|
| Cost of Dewatering: | \$96,000 | Cost of Road Replace: | \$46,500 |
| Cost Erosion Control: | \$6,000 | Cost of SBGR: | \$43,500 |
| Cost of Excavation: | \$38,000 | Cost of Seeding: | \$1,300 |
| Cost of Existing Structure Removal: | \$6,000 | | |
| Installation Cost for Similar Size Concrete: | \$202,000 | | |
| Cost of Retaining Walls etc: | \$0 | | |

New Concrete Culvert

| \checkmark | |
|--------------|--|
| | |

| Structural Items Subtotal | \$477,000 |
|--|-----------|
| Mobilization General Sitework | \$50,000 |
| Estimated Traffic Management & Civil Items | \$20,000 |
| Contract Admin & Contingencies 20% | \$110,000 |
| Total Rehabilitation Cost Estimate | \$657,000 |

| | Recommended | Capital | Work | Summarv |
|--|-------------|---------|------|---------|
|--|-------------|---------|------|---------|

Recommended Capital Year 2025

New Conc Culvert

Inspection Comments

This culvert is in satisfactory condition with possibly 4 to 8 years of remaining service life. Culvert is over-sized. Little change in condition recorded in 2021.



West elevation



West channel



East channel



North approach



Wearing surface overtop



Through barrel from west

North Lunenburg Road Culvert



Incorrect plate lapping



Leaching and rust at bolts



Waterline condition



Corrosion near waterline





Page 80 of 132

Culvert Inspection Report

Goldfield Road Culvert

| Road Name: | Goldfield Road |
|-----------------|---------------------------|
| Site ID: | C31-A01 |
| Structure Type: | Soil-Steel Structure |
| Owner: | Township South Stormont |
| Built: | 2018 |
| Length: | 22.1 m |
| Width: | 3.8 m |
| Spans: | 1 |
| Spans Arrange: | 3.8 |
| Feature Through | Water |
| Crossing: | Municipal Drain |
| Location: | 250m North of Hunter Road |
| | |

| Inspection Date: | July-09-21 |
|------------------|-------------------------|
| Inspector: | Steve Reid, C.E.T. |
| Assistant: | Kyle Davis, Eng Student |

Recommended Investigations:

Recommended Capital Works:

Estimated Replacement Value:

Estimated Remaining Service Life:

No Capital Works Recommendations

Estimated replacement value is based on replacement in kind

No Special Investigations Recommended

Comments:

New polymer coated pipe arch culvert, condition good, 2021. No delineators or guide rail were installed at this site. Delineators are recommended,.



| AADT: | N/A | Latitude: | 45.09991400 |
|--------|---------|--------------|--------------|
| Lanes: | 2 | Longitude: | -75.11402400 |
| Skew: | 15 ° | Orientation: | N-S |
| Speed: | 80 km/h | Road Width: | 7 m |
| Trucks | | Load Posting | : No Posting |
| Fill: | 1.2 m | H2O Depth: | 0.3 m |



BCI = Bridge Condition Index MTO Calculation

PD = Parabolic Depreciation % retained value

SLD = Straight Line Depreciation % retained value

DD = Defects and Damage % loss of retained value



Keystone Bridge Management Corp. C31-A01

\$355,000

37 Years

Goldfield Road Culvert
| CS Plate Pipe Arch (1) | Defects 0.0% |
|-------------------------|--|
| Conduit | Damage 0.0% |
| Length: 22.1 m | Maintenance None |
| Width: 3.8 m | Capital Rec. None |
| Height: 2 m | Condition is good. Culvert has polymer coating. Several areas at the east exterior had touch up repairs to coating. Bottom of barrel has approximately 500mm of stone granular material. |
| Gravel Surface (1) | Defects 0.0% |
| Wear Surface | Damage 0.0% |
| Length: 3.7 m | Maintenance None |
| Width: 6.2 m | Capital Rec. None |
| Height: | Good condition. |
| Small Culv Ret Wall (4) | Defects 0.0% |
| Inlet/Outlet Walls | Damage 0.0% |
| Length: 1.5 m | Maintenance None |
| Width: | Capital Rec. None |
| Height: 0.8 m | Small sheet pile type retaining walls at culvert ends. |
| Water Channel (1) | Defects 0.0% |
| Conduit Channel | Damage 0.0% |
| | Maintenance None |
| | Capital Rec. None |
| | No flow at time of inspection 2019 0r 2021. Up to 300mm standing water inside. River stones installed through barrel. |
| Embankment (4) | Defects 0.0% |
| Embankment | Damage 0.0% |
| | Maintenance None |
| | Capital Rec. None Perf Def: Toxic Weeds |
| | Rip rap stones on embankments. Ditch culvert in the NW quadrant. Wild parsnip noted in 2021. No delineators of guide rail at this culvert, delineators should be added. |









East channel



West channel



North approach



Wearing surface overtop



Through barrel from east

Goldfield Road Culvert



Goldfield Road Culvert

Hunters Road Culvert

| Road Name: | Hunters Road |
|-----------------|--------------------------------|
| Site ID: | C31-A02 |
| Structure Type: | Soil-Steel Structure |
| Owner: | Township South Stormont |
| Built: | 1976 |
| Length: | 21.8 m |
| Width: | 3.8 m |
| Spans: | 1 |
| Spans Arrange: | 3.8 |
| Feature Through | Water |
| Crossing: | Municipal Drain |
| Location: | 60m West of Goldfield Rd South |
| | |

| Inspection Date: | July-09-21 |
|------------------|-------------------------|
| Inspector: | Steve Reid, C.E.T. |
| Assistant: | Kyle Davis, Eng Student |

Comments:

This culvert has an obvious crimp line along lower barrel walls, walls are easily penetrated with pick hammer in this area. Programming for replacement of this culvert should be started. Plan on replacing this culvert within two years.

Recommended Investigations:

No Special Investigations Recommended

Recommended Capital Works:

New Conc Culvert

| Estimated Replacement Value: | \$384, | 000 | | |
|---|--------|-----------|--|--|
| Estimated replacement value is based on replacement in kind | | | | |
| Estimated Remaining Service Life: | 2 Yea | ars | | |
| Year of Replacement and Cost: | 2023 | \$462,000 | | |

Keystone Bridge Management Corp.



| AADT: | N/A | Latitude: | 45.09765100 |
|--------|------------|--------------|--------------|
| Lanes: | 2 | Longitude: | -75.11300400 |
| Skew: | <i>0</i> ° | Orientation: | E-W |
| Speed: | 80 km/h | Road Width: | 6.2 m |
| Trucks | | Load Posting | : No Posting |
| Fill: | 0.8 m | H2O Depth: | 0.3 m |



BCI = Bridge Condition Index MTO Calculation

PD = Parabolic Depreciation % retained value

SLD = Straight Line Depreciation % retained value

DD = Defects and Damage % loss of retained value

Hunters Road Culvert

C31-A02

| CS Plate Pipe Arch (1) | Defects 50.0% Major Corrosion, Moderate Corrosion, Moderate Tarnishing |
|------------------------|--|
| Conduit | Damage 10.0% Major Crimping, Moderate Section Loss |
| Length: 21.8 m | Maintenance None |
| Width: 3.8 m | Capital Rec. Replace in 2 years Perf Def: Load Carrying Capacity |
| Height: 2.29 m | Date of construction is most likely incorrect. This culvert has a crimping line in both walls and cusping at obvert seam. Perforations are present or the walls can easily be penetrated with pick hammer along this crimp line. Similar observation 2021, walls easily penetrated with pick at the waterline. |
| Asphalt Wear Surf (1) | Defects 0.0% |
| Wear Surface | Damage 2.0% Minor Cracking, Minor Uneven Surface |
| Length: 3.8 m | Maintenance None |
| Width: 6.2 m | Capital Rec. None |
| Height: | Some edge cracking along pavement shoulders. Minor settlement on south side. |
| Water Channel (1) | Defects 0.0% |
| Conduit Channel | Damage 0.0% |
| | Maintenance None Capital Rec. None |
| | <i>Upstream & downstream overgrown with vegetation very little flow in channel at time of inspection. Barrel filled with up to 300mm silty material.</i> |
| Embankment (4) | Defects 0.0% |
| Embankment | Damage 0.0% |
| | Maintenance None |
| | Capital Rec. None Perf Def: Toxic Weeds |
| | Wild parsnip present. Thick vegetation on embankments. No guide rail or delineators at this site. |

Capital Needs Cost Estimate Break-Down

| Cost of Asphalt Removal: | \$5,600 | Cost of Waterproofing: | \$20,700 |
|--|-----------|------------------------|----------|
| Cost of Dewatering: | \$35,000 | Cost of Road Replace: | \$38,500 |
| Cost Erosion Control: | \$6,000 | Cost of SBGR: | \$43,500 |
| Cost of Excavation: | \$32,000 | Cost of Seeding: | \$800 |
| Cost of Existing Structure Removal: | \$4,000 | | |
| Installation Cost for Similar Size Concrete: | \$129,000 | | |
| Cost of Retaining Walls etc: | \$0 | | |

New Concrete Culvert

| Structural Items Subtotal | \$315,000 |
|--|-----------|
| Mobilization General Sitework | \$50,000 |
| Estimated Traffic Management & Civil Items | \$20,000 |
| Contract Admin & Contingencies 20% | \$77,000 |
| Total Rehabilitation Cost Estimate | \$462.000 |

| Recommended Capital work Summar | Recommended | Capital | Work | Summar |
|---------------------------------|-------------|---------|------|--------|
|---------------------------------|-------------|---------|------|--------|

Recommended Capital Year 2023

New Conc Culvert

Inspection Comments

This culvert has an obvious crimp line along lower barrel walls, walls are easily penetrated with pick hammer in this area. Programming for replacement of this culvert should be started. Plan on replacing this culvert within two years.





West approach





South channel



North channel

Asphalt overtop



Waterline condition at east





Vegetation at south end

Image 340

Perforation at waterline west side



Incorrect plate lap



Perforation at waterline east side



Through from south



Sagging in obvert



Keystone Bridge Management Corp. C31-A02



Otto Road Culvert

| Road Name: | Otto Road |
|-----------------|-----------------------------|
| Site ID: | C31-A03 |
| Structure Type: | Soil-Steel Structure |
| Owner: | Township South Stormont |
| Built: | 2013 |
| Length: | 17.2 m |
| Width: | 3.6 m |
| Spans: | 1 |
| Spans Arrange: | 3.6 |
| Feature Through | Water |
| Crossing: | Municipal Drain |
| Location: | 4 km West of County Road 14 |
| | |

Inspection Date:July-09-21Inspector:Steve Reid, C.E.T.Assistant:Kyle Davis, Eng Student

Comments:

Steel box culvert is performing well. Clearing thick vegetation at culvert ends only recommendation at this time.



| AADT: | N/A | Latitude: | 45.07514200 |
|--------|------------|--------------|--------------|
| Lanes: | 2 | Longitude: | -75.07406800 |
| Skew: | <i>0</i> ° | Orientation: | E-W |
| Speed: | 80 km/h | Road Width: | 6.5 m |
| Trucks | | Load Posting | : No Posting |
| Fill: | 0.8 m | H2O Depth: | 0.3 m |



BCI = Bridge Condition Index MTO Calculation

PD = Parabolic Depreciation % retained value

SLD = Straight Line Depreciation % retained value

DD = Defects and Damage % loss of retained value

No Special Investigations Recommended

Recommended Capital Works:

Recommended Investigations:

No Capital Works Recommendations

| Estimated Replacement Value: | \$694 ,000 | |
|---|-------------------|--|
| Estimated replacement value is based on replacement in kind | | |
| Estimated Remaining Service Life: | 32 Years | |



Otto Road Culvert

| CS Plate Arch (1) | Defects 0.0% |
|----------------------------|--|
| Conduit | Damage 0.0% |
| Length: 3.55 m | Maintenance None |
| Width: 17.2 m | Capital Rec. None |
| Height: 1.42 m | Steel box culvert polymer coating on concrete footings. Culvert is performing well. Several bolts missing and loose. Lower portion of walls stained. |
| Asphalt Wear Surf (1) | Defects 0.0% |
| Wear Surface | Damage 0.0% |
| Length: 3.55 m | Maintenance None |
| Width: 6.5 m | Capital Rec. None |
| Height: | Remains in good condition. |
| Steel Beam on Steel Post (| 4 Defects 0.0% |
| Guide Rail | Damage 0.0% |
| Length: 57.6 m | Maintenance None |
| Width: | Capital Rec. None |
| Height: | Extruder end treatment at all four ends of guide rail. 82.7m (SW) + 46.7m (NW) + 75.9m (NE) +25.1m (SE) |
| Thrie Beam G/R (2) | Defects 0.0% |
| Barrier | Damage 0.0% |
| Length: 14 m | Maintenance None |
| Width: | Capital Rec. None |
| Height: | Good condition. Small section of thrie beam attached to timber posts locally over culvert. |
| Spread Footing (12) | Defects 0.0% |
| Foundation | Damage 0.0% |
| Length: 17.2 m | Maintenance None |
| Width: 0.3 m | Capital Rec. None |
| Height: 1 m | Dimensions assumed and require confirmation. |
| Rip Rap (4) | Defects 0.0% |
| Channel Armour | Damage 0.0% |
| | Maintenance None Capital Rec. None |
| | Rip rap placed at culvert ends. Rip rap has slid down into channel at corners, not affecting channel flow. |

| Water Channel (1) | Defects 0.0% |
|-------------------|---|
| Conduit Channel | Damage 0.0% |
| | Maintenance None |
| | Capital Rec. None |
| | Channel is overgrown. Stagnant water inside culvert. Water flows from north to south. |
| Embankment (2) | Defects 0.0% |
| Embankment | Damage 0.0% |
| | Maintenance Remove Brush/Trees |
| | Capital Rec. None |
| | Wild parsnip flourishing. |
| Dellasseter (A) | |
| Delineator (4) | |
| Signs | Damage 0.0% |
| Length: | Maintenance None |
| Width: | Capital Rec. None |
| Height: | Delineators located at ends of guide rail. |



North elevation



North guide rail (typical)



Asphalt overtop



East approach



South channel



North channel upstream



Otto Road Culvert



Through barrel from north



Waterline condition at east



Loose nut in obvert



Waterline condition at west



Missing bolts in obvert





Otto Road Culvert

Beckstead Road Culvert

| Road Name: | Beckstead Road |
|-----------------|-----------------------------|
| Site ID: | C31-A06 |
| Structure Type: | Soil-Steel Structure |
| Owner: | Township South Stormont |
| Built: | 1980 |
| Length: | 14.7 m |
| Width: | 3.6 m |
| Spans: | 1 |
| Spans Arrange: | 3.6 |
| Feature Through | Water |
| Crossing: | Municipal Drain |
| Location: | 2 km East of County Road 11 |
| | |

| Inspection Date: | July-09-21 |
|------------------|-------------------------|
| Inspector: | Steve Reid, C.E.T. |
| Assistant: | Kyle Davis, Eng Student |

Recommended Investigations:

Recommended Capital Works:

Estimated Replacement Value:

New Conc Culvert

No Special Investigations Recommended

Comments:

Construction year was estimated at 1980. Current condition is satisfactory to poor. Lower half of barrel walls has moderate to severe section loss, culvert has approximately 5 years of remaining service life.



| AADT: | N/A | Latitude: | 45.04875100 |
|--------|-------------|--------------|--------------|
| Lanes: | 2 | Longitude: | -75.06475000 |
| Skew: | 20 ° | Orientation: | E-W |
| Speed: | 80 km/h | Road Width: | 6 m |
| Trucks | | Load Posting | : No Posting |
| Fill: | 0.4 m | H2O Depth: | 0.3 m |



BCI = Bridge Condition Index MTO Calculation

PD = Parabolic Depreciation

SLD = Straight Line Depreciation % retained value

DD = Defects and Damage

% retained value

% loss of retained value

| Estimated Remaining Service Life: | 5 Ye | ars |
|-----------------------------------|------|-----------|
| Year of Replacement and Cost: | 2026 | \$350,000 |
| | | |

Estimated replacement value is based on replacement in kind

Keystone Bridge Management Corp. C31-A06

\$298,000

| CS Plate Pipe Arch (1) | Defects 60.0% Minor Corrosion, Moderate Corrosion, Major Corrosion |
|--|--|
| Conduit | Damage 4.0% Minor Section Loss, Moderate Section Loss |
| Length: <i>14.7 m</i> Width: <i>3.6 m</i> | Maintenance None Perf Def: Insufficient Barrel Length |
| Height: 2.29 m | Overall barrel condition is satisfactory to poor. Walls above bolt line have minor corrosion, below bolt line walls have moderate to major corrosion with some section loss. Length of culvert is inadequate for road platform, also cover over barrel is minimal. Approximately 500mm of silt has built up inside barrel. |
| Asphalt Wear Surf (1) | Defects 0.0% |
| Wear Surface | Damage 0.0% |
| Length: 3.6 m | Maintenance None |
| Width: 6 m | Capital Rec. None |
| Height: | Surface treatment over culvert, condition is good. Minor settlement around culvert ends. |
| Water Channel (1) | Defects 0.0% |
| Conduit Channel | Damage 0.0% |
| | Maintenance None |
| | Capital Rec. None |
| | Channel is overgrown with vegetation both upstream & downstream channels. Stagnant water inside barrel. |
| Embankment (4) | Defects 0.0% |
| Embankment | Damage 0.0% |
| | Maintenance None |
| | Capital Rec. None Perr Der: Toxic weeds |
| | Steep embankments due to culvert being too short. Wild parship present. Thick vegetation growth at culvert ends. |
| Delineator (2) | Defects 0.0% |
| Signs | Damage 0.0% |
| Length: | Maintenance None |
| Width: | Capital Rec. None |
| Height: | Sign in the NE & SW. Signs are visible but slightly obscured from vegetation. |



Capital Needs Cost Estimate Break-Down

| Cost of Asphalt Removal: | \$5,300 | Cost of Waterproofing: | \$13,200 |
|--|----------|------------------------|----------|
| Cost of Dewatering: | \$33,000 | Cost of Road Replace: | \$36,600 |
| Cost Erosion Control: | \$6,000 | Cost of SBGR: | \$43,500 |
| Cost of Excavation: | \$21,000 | Cost of Seeding: | \$700 |
| Cost of Existing Structure Removal: | \$3,000 | | |
| Installation Cost for Similar Size Concrete: | \$84,000 | | |
| Cost of Retaining Walls etc: | \$0 | | |

New Concrete Culvert

| Structural Items Subtotal | \$246,000 |
|--|-----------|
| Mobilization General Sitework | \$25,000 |
| Estimated Traffic Management & Civil Items | \$20,000 |
| Contract Admin & Contingencies 20% | \$59,000 |
| Total Rehabilitation Cost Estimate | \$350,000 |

| Recommended Capital Work Summary | Recommended | Capital | Work | Summary |
|----------------------------------|-------------|---------|------|---------|
|----------------------------------|-------------|---------|------|---------|

Recommended Capital Year 2026

New Conc Culvert

Inspection Comments

Construction year was estimated at 1980. Current condition is satisfactory to poor. Lower half of barrel walls has moderate to severe section loss, culvert has approximately 5 years of remaining service life.



North elevation



North channel



South channel



East approach



Asphalt overtop



Incorrect plate lap





Waterline corrosion at west



Leaching at seam



Waterline corrosion at east, incorrect plate lap



Through barrel from north





Anderson Road Culvert

| Road Name: | Anderson Road |
|-------------------|------------------------------|
| Site ID: | C31-A08 |
| Structure Type: | Concrete Culvert |
| Owner: | Township South Stormont |
| Built: | 1960 |
| Length: | 12.2 m |
| Width: | 4.2 m |
| Spans: | 1 |
| Spans Arrange: | 3.7 |
| Feature Through | Water |
| Crossing: | |
| Location: | 2 km East of Aultsville Road |
| | |
| Inspection Date: | July-30-21 |
| Inspector: | Steve Reid, C.E.T. |
| Assistant: | Kyle Davis, Eng Student |
| Comments: | |
| Construction year | was estimated at 1960. This |

concrete culvert is in good condition. Delineators have been added to corners of this culvert since 2019. Culvert appears over-sized.

Recommended Investigations:

No Special Investigations Recommended

Recommended Capital Works:

No Capital Works Recommendations

| Estimated Replacement Value: | \$310,000 |
|---|---------------|
| Estimated replacement value is based on replace | ement in kind |
| Estimated Remaining Service Life: | 29 Years |



| AADT: | N/A | Latitude: | 44.97936300 |
|--------|------------|--------------|--------------|
| Lanes: | 2 | Longitude: | -75.03533900 |
| Skew: | 5 ° | Orientation: | E-W |
| Speed: | 80 km/h | Road Width: | 6.2 m |
| Trucks | | Load Posting | : No Posting |
| Fill: | 0.5 m | H2O Depth: | 0.05 m |



BCI = Bridge Condition Index MTO Calculation

PD = Parabolic Depreciation % retained value

SLD = Straight Line Depreciation % retained value

DD = Defects and Damage % loss of retained value



Anderson Road Culvert

| CIP RF Open Ftg Culv (1) | Defects 3.0% Minor Scaling, Minor Leaching Cracks |
|--------------------------|---|
| Conduit | Damage 0.0% |
| Length: 12.2 m | Maintenance None |
| Width: 4.2 m | Capital Rec. None |
| Height: 1.5 m | Overall condition remains good. Approximately 500mm of cover over top of culvert. Minor scaling on walls. Minor scaling on soffit, some damp areas at soffit ends. Scour in the SW corner has undermined footing slightly. |
| Surface Treatment (1) | Defects 0.0% |
| Wear Surface | Damage 0.0% |
| Length: 4.2 m | Maintenance None |
| Width: 6.2 m | Capital Rec. None |
| Height: | Surface treatment, remains in satisfactory condition. |
| Water Channel (1) | Defects 0.0% |
| Conduit Channel | Damage 0.0% |
| | Maintenance None Capital Rec. None |
| | Channel dry at time of inspection, 2019. Some standing water inside, 2021. Overgrown upstream & downstream. |
| Embankment (4) | Defects 0.0% |
| Embankment | Damage 0.0% |
| | Maintenance Remove Brush/Trees Capital Rec. None |
| | <i>Heavy vegetation growth at south end, nicely groomed at north end.</i> <i>Brush & trees at south end should be cleared.</i> |
| Delineator (4) | Defects 0.0% |
| Signs | Damage 0.0% |
| Length: | Maintenance None |
| Width: | Capital Rec. None |
| Height: | 4 delineators have been added at corners of culvert since 2019. |





North elevation



North channel



Asphalt over culvert



East approach



South channel



East wall

Anderson Road Culvert



West wall



East footing disintegration

Image 13



Through culvert



Soffit



Leaching crack wall in the SE

Anderson Road Culvert

Cooper Road Culvert

| Road Name: | Cooper Road |
|------------------|------------------------------------|
| Site ID: | C31-A12 |
| Structure Type: | Concrete Culvert |
| Owner: | Township South Stormont |
| Built: | 1994 |
| Length: | 21.7 m |
| Width: | 4.8 m |
| Spans: | 1 |
| Spans Arrange: | 4.8 |
| Feature Through | Water |
| Crossing: | |
| Location: | 2 km West of County Road 12 |
| | |
| Inspection Date: | July-27-21 |
| Inspector: | Steve Reid, C.E.T. |
| Assistant: | Kyle Davis, Eng Student |
| Comments: | |
| This precast box | culvert is in good condition. Guid |

le rail over the culvert is due for replacement.



| AADT: | N/A | Latitude: | 45.08249500 |
|--------|------------|--------------|--------------|
| Lanes: | 2 | Longitude: | -75.00437100 |
| Skew: | <i>0</i> ° | Orientation: | E-W |
| Speed: | 80 km/h | Road Width: | 6 m |
| Trucks | | Load Posting | : No Posting |
| Fill: | 0.5 m | H2O Depth: | 0.4 m |



BCI = Bridge Condition Index MTO Calculation

PD = Parabolic Depreciation % retained value

SLD = Straight Line Depreciation % retained value

DD = Defects and Damage % loss of retained value

Cooper Road Culvert

Page 107 of 132

Recommended Investigations:

No Special Investigations Recommended

Recommended Capital Works:

Guide Rail

| Estimated Replacement Value: | \$473, | 000 |
|--|-----------|----------|
| Estimated replacement value is based on replacen | nent in l | kind |
| Estimated Remaining Service Life: | 63 Ye | ears |
| Rehabilitation Year and Estimated Cost: | 2024 | \$48,000 |

| Precast RF Box Culvert (1) | Defects 5.0% Minor Scaling, Minor Staining, Minor Leaching/Seepage |
|----------------------------|--|
| Conduit | Damage 0.0% |
| Length: 21.7 m | Maintenance None |
| Width: 4.2 m | Capital Rec. None |
| Height: 1.8 m | Condition of the interior is good. Walls are lightly scaled. Minor stains around precast joints in soffit. Joints actively leaking in 2021. |
| Asphalt Wear Surf (1) | Defects 0.0% |
| Wear Surface | Damage 0.0% |
| Length: 4.7 m | Maintenance None |
| Width: 6 m | Capital Rec. None |
| Height: | Asphalt on approaches is in poor condition, asphalt over culvert is satisfactory. |
| Steel Beam on Wood Post | Defects 0.0% |
| Guide Rail | Damage 10.0% Major Decay |
| Length: 20 m | Maintenance None |
| Width: | Capital Rec. Replace in 1 year Perf Def: Inadequate Height |
| Height: 0.5 m | Guide rail is too low to be an effective traffic barrier. Guide rail does not have standard end treatments. Timber posts & spacer blocks have major decay in the top surface. Major vegetation growth around guide rail. |
| Water Channel (1) | Defects 5.0% Minor Aggradation |
| Conduit Channel | Damage 0.0% |
| | Maintenance None Capital Rec. None |
| | Aggradation noted at SE & NE ends & along east wall inside barrel. Water flows from north to south. |
| Embankment (4) | Defects 0.0% |
| Embankment | Damage 0.0% |
| | Maintenance Remove Brush/Trees Capital Rec. None Perf Def: Toxic Weeds |
| | <i>Thick vegetation at culvert ends. Wild parsnip present. Tree in the SE corner should be cut back.</i> |

Capital Needs Cost Estimate Break-Down

Other Work Guide Rail

\$30,000

| \$30,00 | Structural Items Subtotal |
|---------|--|
| \$10,00 | Mobilization General Sitework |
| 9 | Estimated Traffic Management & Civil Items |
| \$8,00 | Contract Admin & Contingencies 20% |
| \$48,00 | Total Rehabilitation Cost Estimate |

| Recommended Capital Year | 2024 |
|---------------------------------|------|
|---------------------------------|------|

Guide Rail

Inspection Comments

Recommended Capital Work Summary

This precast box culvert is in good condition. Guide rail over the culvert is due for replacement.





North elevation



Typical guide rail



North channel



West approach



Typical post decay



South channel



Asphalt overtop



Leaky joint at north



West wall



Through from north



East wall



Soffit



Cooper Road Culvert



Cooper Road Culvert

Wilburn Road Culvert

| Road Name: | Wilburn Road |
|-----------------|-------------------------------|
| Site ID: | C31-A13 |
| Structure Type: | Soil-Steel Structure |
| Owner: | Township South Stormont |
| Built: | 1990 |
| Length: | 11.2 m |
| Width: | 3.5 m |
| Spans: | 1 |
| Spans Arrange: | 3.5 |
| Feature Through | Water |
| Crossing: | Dixon Creek |
| Location: | 0.5 km West of County Road 12 |
| | |

Inspection Date:July-27-21Inspector:Steve Reid, C.E.T.Assistant:Kyle Davis, Eng Student

Comments:

Construction Year was estimated at 1990. This culvert is in satisfactory condition at this time. Major corrosion and bolt line cracks were identified, planning for replacement of this culvert in a +/- 10 year timeframe should be started.

Recommended Investigations:

No Special Investigations Recommended

Recommended Capital Works:

No Capital Works Recommendations

| Estimated Replacement Value: | \$212,000 | |
|---|-----------|--|
| Estimated replacement value is based on replacement in kind | | |
| Estimated Remaining Service Life: | 16 Years | |



| AADT: | N/A | Latitude: | 45.08254500 |
|--------|------------|--------------|--------------|
| Lanes: | 1 | Longitude: | -74.98669300 |
| Skew: | <i>0</i> ° | Orientation: | E-W |
| Speed: | 80 km/h | Road Width: | 4 m |
| Trucks | | Load Posting | : No Posting |
| Fill: | 1.2 m | H2O Depth: | 0.2 m |



BCI = Bridge Condition Index MTO Calculation

PD = Parabolic Depreciation % retained value

SLD = Straight Line Depreciation % retained value

DD = Defects and Damage % loss of retained value



Wilburn Road Culvert

| CS Plate Pipe Arch (1) | Defects 40.0% Minor Corrosion, Moderate Corrosion, Major Corrosion | | | |
|------------------------|---|--|--|--|
| Conduit | Damage 2.0% Minor Section Loss, Minor Bolt Line Crack'g | | | |
| Length: 11.2 m | Maintenance None | | | |
| Width: 3.5 m | Capital Rec. None Perf Def: Insufficient Barrel Length | | | |
| Height: 2 m | Barrel walls have light corrosion above bolt line seam, below seam corrosion is moderate to major with minor some section loss. Bolt line cracks were noted in the west wall, (approximately 2m length). Minor impact type damage at south end. Culvert length is insufficient for road platform. | | | |
| Gravel Surface (1) | Defects 0.0% | | | |
| Wear Surface | Damage 0.0% | | | |
| Length: 3.5 m | Maintenance None | | | |
| Width: 4 m | Capital Rec. None | | | |
| Height: | Narrow gravel dead end road. Loose gravel. | | | |
| Water Channel (1) | Defects 0.0% | | | |
| Conduit Channel | Damage 0.0% | | | |
| | Maintenance None | | | |
| | Capital Rec. None Perf Def: Obstructed | | | |
| | Moderate velocity flow. Water flowing from north to south. | | | |
| Embankment (4) | Defects 5.0% Minor Erosion | | | |
| Embankment | Damage 0.0% | | | |
| | Maintenance Remove Brush/TreesCapital Rec. NonePerf Def: Toxic Weeds | | | |
| | Steep embankments, erosion in the NW corner. Retaining walls may be warranted due to the short length culvert. Wild parsnip present. | | | |



North elevation

Image 84



North channel



Gravel wearing surface overtop



West approach



South channel upstream



South elevation



Wilburn Road Culvert



Incorrect plate lapping



Through barrel from north



Boltline cracking



Embankment erosion in NW



MacRae Road Culvert

| Road Name: | MacRae Road |
|------------------|---------------------------|
| Site ID: | C31-A15 |
| Structure Type: | Soil-Steel Structure |
| Owner: | Township South Stormont |
| Built: | 1985 |
| Length: | 18.2 m |
| Width: | 3.3 m |
| Spans: | 1 |
| Spans Arrange: | 3.3 |
| Feature Through | Water |
| Crossing: | |
| Location: | 1.5km north of Dixon Road |
| | |
| Inspection Date: | July-27-21 |
| | 0/ D 1/ 0 5 7 |

Inspector:Steve Reid, C.E.T.Assistant:Kyle Davis, Eng Student

Comments:

Construction year was estimated at 1985. Perforations were noted in the barrel walls and floor. A concrete floor liner may be an appropriate repair strategy for this culvert due to the perforations being low in floor or walls. Without liner this culvert will need replacement within in a 5-7 year timeframe. Floor liner would add 20 years to life of culvert.

Recommended Investigations:

No Special Investigations Recommended

Recommended Capital Works:

Concrete floor liner

| Estimated Replacement Value: | \$288,000 |
|---|-------------------|
| Estimated replacement value is based on replacement | cement in kind |
| Estimated Remaining Service Life: | 6 Years |
| Rehabilitation Year and Estimated Cos | st: 2023 \$36,000 |

Keystone Bridge Management Corp. C31-A15



| AADT: | N/A | Latitude: | 45.10873800 |
|--------|------------|--------------|--------------|
| Lanes: | 2 | Longitude: | -74.96583200 |
| Skew: | <i>0</i> ° | Orientation: | N-S |
| Speed: | 80 km/h | Road Width: | 5.5 m |
| Trucks | | Load Posting | : No Posting |
| Fill: | 0.8 m | H2O Depth: | 0.4 m |



BCI = Bridge Condition Index MTO Calculation

PD = Parabolic Depreciation % retained value

SLD = Straight Line Depreciation % retained value

DD = Defects and Damage % loss of retained value

MacRae Road Culvert
Component Inspection Information

| Circular CS Pipe (1) | Defects 35.0% Minor Corrosion, Moderate Corrosion | | |
|-----------------------|--|--|--|
| Conduit | Damage 3.0% Minor Perforation, Minor Section Loss | | |
| Length: <i>18.2 m</i> | Maintenance None | | |
| Width: 3.3 m | Capital Rec. Repair in 3 years | | |
| Height: 2.3 m | Lower half of barrel has moderate corrosion. Perforations at normal waterline at the east end. Random perforations along many seams of barrel. | | |
| Gravel Surface (1) | Defects 0.0% | | |
| Wear Surface | Damage 0.0% | | |
| Length: 3.3 m | Maintenance None | | |
| Width: 5.5 m | Capital Rec. None | | |
| Height: | Gravel road over culvert. | | |
| Water Channel (1) | Defects 0.0% | | |
| Conduit Channel | Damage 0.0% | | |
| | Maintenance None | | |
| | Capital Rec. None | | |
| | Channel is open & moving through culvert. Remains of old bridge abutments west side of culvert at inlet. | | |
| Embankment (2) | Defects 0.0% | | |
| Embankment | Damage 0.0% | | |
| | Maintenance None | | |
| | Capital Rec. None Perf Def: Over-steepened | | |
| | No guide rail or delineators at this site. Steep embankments. Wild parsnip. | | |

Capital Needs Cost Estimate Break-Down

Other Work Concrete floor liner

\$20,000

| \$20,000 | Structural Items Subtotal |
|----------|--|
| \$10,000 | Mobilization General Sitework |
| \$0 | Estimated Traffic Management & Civil Items |
| \$6,000 | Contract Admin & Contingencies 20% |
| \$36,000 | Total Rehabilitation Cost Estimate |

Recommended Capital Work Summary

Recommended Capital Year 2023

Concrete floor liner

Inspection Comments

Construction year was estimated at 1985. Perforations were noted in the barrel walls and floor. A concrete floor liner may be an appropriate repair strategy for this culvert due to the perforations being low in floor or walls. Without liner this culvert will need replacement within in a 5-7 year timeframe. Floor liner would add 20 years to life of culvert.





East elevation



West channel



Small perforation at north



North approach



Through barrel from west



East channel



MacRae Road Culvert



Gravel wearing surface overtop



MacRae Road Culvert



MacRae Road Culvert

Culvert Inspection Report

Northfield Road Culvert

| Road Name: | Northfield Road |
|-----------------|------------------------------|
| Site ID: | C31-A16 |
| Structure Type: | Soil-Steel Structure |
| Owner: | Township South Stormont |
| Built: | 1990 |
| Length: | 15.3 m |
| Width: | 3.6 m |
| Spans: | 1 |
| Spans Arrange: | 3.6 |
| Feature Through | Water |
| Crossing: | Municipal Drain |
| Location: | 1 km North of County Road 18 |
| | |

Inspection Date:July-27-21Inspector:Steve Reid, C.E.T.Assistant:Kyle Davis, Eng Student

Recommended Investigations:

Recommended Capital Works:

Estimated Replacement Value:

Estimated Remaining Service Life:

No Capital Works Recommendations

Estimated replacement value is based on replacement in kind

No Special Investigations Recommended

Comments:

Construction year was estimated at 1990. Delineators should be installed to identify the culvert location. Cusping is a concern that poses risk of a failure. Additional vigilance recommended.



| AADT: | N/A | Latitude: | 45.07444300 |
|--------|------------|--------------|--------------|
| Lanes: | 2 | Longitude: | -74.93634500 |
| Skew: | <i>0</i> ° | Orientation: | N-S |
| Speed: | 80 km/h | Road Width: | 7.5 m |
| Trucks | | Load Posting | : No Posting |
| Fill: | 0.6 m | H2O Depth: | 0.5 m |



BCI = Bridge Condition Index MTO Calculation

PD = Parabolic Depreciation % retained value

SLD = Straight Line Depreciation % retained value

DD = Defects and Damage % loss of retained value



Keystone Bridge Management Corp. C31-A16

\$289,000

14 Years

Northfield Road Culvert

Component Inspection Information

| CS Plate | Pipe Arch (1) | Defects 40.0% Minor Corrosion, Moderate Corrosion, Moderate Plate Lapping Reversed | | |
|-------------------|-----------------|--|--|--|
| Conduit | | Damage 5.0% Minor Section Loss, Moderate Cusping | | |
| Length: Width: | 15.3 m 3.6 m | Maintenance None Capital Rec. None | | |
| Height: | 2.29 m | Light corrosion at high water line & below. Moderate corrosion with minor section loss at normal water line. Obvert of culvert has reverse curvature (cusping), & incorrect plate lapping. | | |
| Gravel St | urface (1) | Defects 0.0% | | |
| Wear Su | rface | Damage 0.0% | | |
| Length: | 3.6 m | Maintenance None | | |
| Width: | 7.5 m | Capital Rec. None | | |
| Height: | | Gravel road over culvert. | | |
| Water Ch | annel (1) | Defects 0.0% | | |
| Conduit | Channel | Damage 0.0% | | |
| | | Maintenance None Capital Rec. None | | |
| | | Stagnant water at time of inspection. High water level appears to be half way up the barrel wall. Silty material inside barrel. Water flows from east to west. Channel overgrown. | | |
| Embankr | nent (4) | Defects 0.0% | | |
| Embankr | nent | Damage 0.0% | | |
| | | Maintenance None Perf Def: Toxic Weeds | | |
| | | No guide rail or delineators at this site. Thick vegetation at culvert ends. Dry stone retaining walls at culvert ends hard to see due to the thick vegetation. Wild parsnip. | | |



West elevation



East channel



West channel



North approach



Gravel wearing surface overtop



Incorrect plate lapping

Northfield Road Culvert



Condition of wall at waterline



Through barrel from east



Bent bolt in NE



Reverse curvature (cusping) in obvert



Northfield Road Culvert

Culvert Inspection Report

O'Keefe Road Culvert

| Road Name: | O'Keefe Road |
|-----------------|-------------------------|
| Site ID: | C31-A18 |
| Structure Type: | Soil-Steel Structure |
| Owner: | Township South Stormont |
| Built: | 1975 |
| Length: | 17.2 m |
| Width: | 3.2 m |
| Spans: | 1 |
| Spans Arrange: | 3.2 |
| Feature Through | Water |
| Crossing: | Municipal Drain |
| Location: | 1km South of Myers Road |
| | |

Inspection Date:June-29-21Inspector:Steve Reid, C.E.T.Assistant:Kyle Davis, Eng Student

Comments:

Age of this culvert should be verified, more likely constructed in 90's not 1975. Culvert is in satisfactory condition at this time. Current guide rail protection should be updated within 2 years.

Recommended Investigations:

No Special Investigations Recommended

Recommended Capital Works:

New Conc Culvert

| Estimated Replacement Value: | \$331, | 000 |
|---|--------|-----------|
| Estimated replacement value is based on replacement in kind | | |
| Estimated Remaining Service Life: | 10 Ye | ears |
| Year of Replacement and Cost: | 2031 | \$368,000 |

Keystone Bridge Management Corp.



| AADT: | N/A | Latitude: | 45.11210600 |
|--------|-------------|--------------|--------------|
| Lanes: | 2 | Longitude: | -74.83444100 |
| Skew: | 20 ° | Orientation: | N-S |
| Speed: | 80 km/h | Road Width: | 5.5 m |
| Trucks | | Load Posting | : No Posting |
| Fill: | 1.2 m | H2O Depth: | 0.5 m |



BCI = Bridge Condition Index MTO Calculation

PD = Parabolic Depreciation % retained value

SLD = Straight Line Depreciation % retained value

DD = Defects and Damage % loss of retained value

O'Keefe Road Culvert

C31-A18

Page 127 of 132

Component Inspection Information

| Circular (| CS Pipe (1) | Defects 40.0% Moderate Corrosion, Major Corrosion | |
|------------|-------------------|--|--|
| Conduit | | Damage 3.0% Minor Section Loss, Moderate Section Loss | |
| Length: | 17.2 m | Maintenance None | |
| Width: | 3.2 m | Capital Rec. None | |
| Height: | 2.1 m | Date of construction should be verified, unlikely this culvert was constructed in 1975. Bottom third of culvert has moderate to major corrosion with some minor section loss. No perforations detected. | |
| Asphalt V | Vear Surf (1) | Defects 2.0% Minor Ravelling | |
| Wear Sur | face | Damage 0.0% | |
| Length: | 3.2 m | Maintenance None | |
| Width: | 5.5 m | Capital Rec. None | |
| Height: | | Satisfactory condition. Minor ravelling. | |
| Steel Bea | am on Wood Post (| Defects 5.0% Minor Checking | |
| Guide Ra | nil | Damage 5.0% Major Decay, Moderate Impact | |
| Length: | 30.5 m | Maintenance Spot post replacement, Local repair | |
| Width: | | Capital Rec. Replace in 2 years Perf Def: Does'nt Meet New Standard | |
| Height: | 0.8 m | Many posts have major decay. Ends are not properly buried and don't meet current standard. Post in the SE is damaged. Sections of flex beam badly corroded. Guide rail system requires renewal. | |
| Water Ch | annel (1) | Defects 0.0% | |
| Conduit | Channel | Damage 5.0% Moderate Debris Obstruction | |
| | | Maintenance Remove Obstructions Capital Rec. None | |
| | | Stagnant flow, no water in upstream or downstream, barrel has 600mm stagnant water inside. Slight flow in 2021, still stagnant water inside barrel, 600mm. Large stones at both ends of barrel partially obstruct channel flow. | |
| Embankn | nent (4) | Defects 5.0% Moderate Erosion | |
| Embankr | nent | Damage 5.0% Moderate Local Instability | |
| | | Maintenance Remove Brush/Trees | |
| | | Capital Rec. None Perf Def: Toxic Weeds | |
| | | Thick brush. Wild parsnip. Dry stone retaining wall in NW is partially failed. | |
| Delineato | or (4) | Defects 0.0% | |
| Signs | | Damage 0.5% Minor Impact | |
| Length: | | Maintenance None | |
| Width: | | Capital Rec. None | |
| Height: | | <i>Delineators at ends of guide rail. Sign in NE has some minor impact damage.</i> | |



Capital Needs Cost Estimate Break-Down

| Cost of Asphalt Removal: | \$5,600 | Cost of Waterproofing: | \$0 |
|--|----------|------------------------|----------|
| Cost of Dewatering: | \$44,000 | Cost of Road Replace: | \$38,200 |
| Cost Erosion Control: | \$6,000 | Cost of SBGR: | \$43,500 |
| Cost of Excavation: | \$32,000 | Cost of Seeding: | \$800 |
| Cost of Existing Structure Removal: | \$3,000 | | |
| Installation Cost for Similar Size Concrete: | \$88,000 | | |
| Cost of Retaining Walls etc: | \$0 | | |

New Concrete Culvert

| \checkmark | |
|--------------|--|
| | |

| Structural Items Subtotal | \$261,000 |
|--|-----------|
| Mobilization General Sitework | \$25,000 |
| Estimated Traffic Management & Civil Items | \$20,000 |
| Contract Admin & Contingencies 20% | \$62,000 |
| Total Rehabilitation Cost Estimate | \$368,000 |

| | Recommended | Capital | Work | Summary | 1 |
|--|-------------|---------|------|---------|---|
|--|-------------|---------|------|---------|---|

Recommended Capital Year 2031

New Conc Culvert

Inspection Comments

Age of this culvert should be verified, more likely constructed in 90's not 1975. Culvert is in satisfactory condition at this time. Current guide rail protection should be updated within 2 years.

West elevation



Post damage at SE



West channel upstream



South approach



East channel downstream



West guide rail (typical)



O'Keefe Road Culvert



Through barrel from west



NW embankment



East elevation



Waterline condition (typical)



Waterline condition



O'Keefe Road Culvert



O'Keefe Road Culvert

Page 132 of 132